# **Qur'anic Idiomatic Phrasal Verbs: Their Syntactic and Semantic Properties**

**Dr. Ali Yunis Aldahesh** The University of Sydney Sydney, Australia

### Abstract

Qur'anic idiomaticity, in its all aspects, poses a great deal of challenge to the Qur'an readers, learners, commentators and translators. One of the most challenging aspects of the Qur'anic idiomaticity is Qur'anic idiomatic phrasal verbs (henceforth QIPVs), where meanings of proper Arabic verbs are utterly fused into meanings of prepositions following them to produce new meanings, which have nothing to do with the basic meanings of those verbs and prepositions. This paper concerns itself with scrutinizing the phenomenon of idiomatic phrasal verbs in the Qur'an. In so doing, it tackles this vital phenomenon from two perspectives, namely: syntactic and semantic perspectives. Due to space restriction, the pragmatic perspective has not been covered. It will be tackled by its own in a separate study. The main purpose of this paper is to initiate a discussion on the idiosyncrasies of QIPVs in an attempt to enhance our understanding of the Qur'anic texture and discourse by providing insights into the ways by which theses idiomatic expressions function in the Qur'an. It is hoped that this investigation will be of practical pedagogical use for both teachers and learners of Qur'anic Arabic and also, by extension, for the Qur'an commentators and translators into other languages.

*Keywords:* Qur'an Translation, Idiomaticity, Qur'anic Phrasal Verbs, Arabic Verbs, Arabic Prepositions, Arabic Verb-Preposition Combination, Arabic Syntax, Arabic Semantics.

### 1.Introduction

In the eighteenth century, English linguists observed a new and rather strange phenomenon arose in English language, which they at the time labelled idiomatic English phrasal verb (henceforth IEPV). This phenomenon is characterised by collocating proper verbs with adverbial particles, fusing together to produce meanings that are completely different from the sum of their literal meanings<sup>1</sup>. The phenomenon of IEPV may be defined as a combination of two or three elements (a verb + a preposition, a verb + an adverb, or a verb + an adverb + a preposition).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. Bolinger, D. (1971). The Phrasal Verb in English. Cambridge and Massachusetts: Harvard University Press; McArthur, T. (1975). Using Phrasal Verbs (Second ed.). London and Glasgow: Collins; McArthur, T. (1979). The strange cases of the English phrasal verb. Zielsprache English, 9 (3), 24-26; McArthur, T. (1989). The long-neglected phrasal verbs. English Today, 5 (2), 38-44; Fraser, B. (1976). The Verb-Particle Combination in English. New York, San Francisco and London: Academic Press; Lindner, S. J. (1983). A Lexico-Semantic Analysis of English Verb Particle Constructions With Out and Up. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indiana University; Quirk, R., Green baum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language. London and New York: Longman; Turton, N., & Manser, M. (1985). The Student's Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs. London and Basingstoke: Macmillan; Dixon, R. M. W. (1982). The Grammar of English Phrasal Verbs. Australian Journal of Linguistics, 2, 1-42; Dixon, R. M. W. (1991). A New Approach to English Grammar, on Semantic Principles. Oxford: Clarendon Press; Azzaro, G. (1992). The Syntactic Learning of English Phrasal Verbs: Theory. Rassegna Italiana di Linguistica Applicata, XXIV (1), 33-60; Shovel, M. (1992). Making Sense of Phrasal Verbs. London: Prentice Hall International (UK) Limited; Live, A. H. (1965). The Discontinuous Verb in English. Word (21), 428-451; Close, R. A. (1992). A Teacher's Grammar: An Approach to the Central Problems of English. London: Language Teaching Publications; Cowie, A. P., & Mackin, R. (1993). Oxford Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs (Second ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press; Crowley, T., Lynch, J., Siegel, J., & Piau, J. (1995). The Design of English: An introduction to descriptive linguistics. Auckland: Longman Paul; Lindstromberg, S. (1998). English Prepositions Explained. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamin's Publishing Company; among others.

Which functions as a single unit of meaning in the sense that its meaning cannot be inferred from the total sum of the meanings of its separate elements, e.g., to give up, to give in, to give away, to carry out, to carry on, to put up With, to turn up, to turn on, to turn off, to get away with <sup>2</sup>. Moving on to the Arabic language, it has a similar phenomenon. Nonetheless, unlike the English language, Arabic does not allow proper verbs to combine with adverbs, it allows them to combine with prepositions, as in: māla 'ilā (to like, to sympathize), and māla 'an (to avoid, to dislike), waqa'a fī (to fall down into), and waqa'a 'alā (to come across, to find) etc. Classical Arabic linguists did not categorise these combinations under a particular heading. This is due to the fact that they do not incorporate prepositions when studying Arabic verbs. They rather dedicate a special part of their studies to prepositions, or study them separately with other type of particles, which are referred to as huruf al-ma'ani 'particles of meanings'<sup>3</sup>. Yet, a number of modern Arabic linguists label these combinations of verbs and prepositions as al-dhamā'im (enclosures)<sup>4</sup>. Others have dealt with them in passing<sup>5</sup>. While a few linguists (such as: Lentzner<sup>6</sup>, 1977; Heliel<sup>7</sup>, 1994; Al-Shamsān<sup>8</sup>, 1987 and Aldahesh<sup>9</sup>, 2016a), have attended to their properties in a more elaborated manner. This has been said, the phenomenon of combining proper verbs with prepositions in an idiomatic mannerin the Our'an is a common feature of the Our'anic discourse. In his two volumes book Al-Qur'an al-Karīm Wa Tafā'ul al-Ma'ānī: Dirāsa Dilālya li Ta'llug Harf al-Jarr bi al-Fi'il Wa 'Atharahu fī al-Ma'nā fī al-Qur'an al-Karīm, Dāwood (2002) makes the most significant contribution by attending to this phenomenon in the Qur'an<sup>10</sup>. Another significant contribution is made byal-Shamsān in his book Al-Fi'il fī al-Qur'an al-Karīm Ta advatuhu wa Luzūmuhu<sup>11</sup>. It is vital to say that, except for Aldahesh (2016a) who draws a clear-cut between the idiomatic and non-idiomatic types of Arabic verb-preposition structure<sup>12</sup>, no such a distinction has been made between them by the scholars who have previously investigated the phenomenon. It has been taken for granted by them that all Arabic combinations in which proper verbs are followed by prepositions are 'idioms'. Lentzner (1977), for instance, confuses the idiomatic/metaphorical verb-preposition constructions with those of nonidiomatic/literal ones. She erroneously labels all the constructions as "Arabic verb-preposition idiom[s]". Such confusion is due to the approach she undertakes in her study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Aldahesh, A. Y. (2009a). Translating Idiomatic English Phrasal Verbs into Arabic. UK. VDM Verlag Dr. Muller, p. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Al-Kisā'ī (d. 189 H) was the first to devote a study to these vital particles followed by al-Akhfash (d. 215 H), then al-Mubarrid (d. 285 H), then Ibn Kaysān (d. 299 H), then al-Zajjajii (d. 337 H), then al-Farisī (d. 377 H), then al-Rummānī (d. 412 H), then al-Qazzāz (d. 412 H), then al-Harawī (d. 415 H), then al-Muqālī (d. 702 H), then al-Murādī (d. 749 H). Let alone linguists who wrote books about Arabic grammar in general and devoted special chapters for prepositions such as al-Zamakhshrī (d. 538 H) in al-Mufassal, and Ibin Hushām (d. 671 H) in Mughnī al-Labīb. For more details, see Dāwood, 2002, pp. 1:11-15; Al-Shamsān, 1986, p. 733; and Aldahesh 1916a p. 16.

Cf. Al-Shamsān, A. I. (1986). Al-Fi'il fī al-Qur'an al-Karīm Ta'adyatuhu wa Luzūmuhu, Kuwait University, p. 252 & p. 747; cf. Aldahesh 1916a p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> E.g. Ryding, K. G. (2005). A Reference Grammar of Modern Standard Arabic. Cambridge University Press. UK; Al-Shamsān, 1986; Al-Shamsān, A. I. (1987). Qadāyā al-Taʿadī wa al-Luzūm fi al-Dars al-Nahwī. Matbaʿat al-Madanī. Juddah. Suadi Arabia; Kharma, N., & Hajjaj, A. (1989). Errors in English Among Arabic Speakers: Analysis and Remedy. London: Longman; Abboud, P. F., & McCarus, E. N. (1968). Elementary Modern Standard Arabic. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; Bataineh, R. F., & Bataineh, R. F. (2002). The Difficulties Jordanian Graduate Learners of English as a Second language Face When Translating English Idioms into Arabic. RASK, 16, 33-83; cf. Aldahesh 1916a p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Lentzner, K. R. (1977). Semantic and Syntactic Aspects of Arabic Prepositions. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Georgetown University, Washington, D. C, pp. 155-195

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Heliel, M. H. (1994). Verb-Particle Combinations in English and Arabic: Problems for Arab Lexicographers and Translators. In R. De Beaugrand, A. Shunnaq & M. H. Heliel (Eds.), Language, Discourse and Translation in the West and Middle East. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Al-Shamsān, A. I. (1987). Qadāyā al-Taʿadī wa al-Luzūm fi al-Dars al- Nahwī. Matbaʿat al-Madanī. Juddah. Suadi Arabia; Al-'Atyva, A. M. (2008). Hurūf al-Jarr bayna al-Nyāba wa al-Tadmīn. Majallat al-turāth al-'Arabī. Year 28. No. 112.Beirut, Lebanon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Aldahesh, A. Y. (2016a). Pinning Down the Phenomenon of Phrasal Verbs in Arabic. International Journal of language and Linguistics. 3 (1), pp. 12-24. ISSN 2374-8850 (Print), 2374-8869 (Online).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Dāwood, M. M. (2002). Al-Qurʿan al-Karīm Wa Tafāʿul al-Maʿāniī: Dirāsa Dilālya li Taʿlluq Ḥarf al-Jarr bi al-Fiʿil Wa 'Atharahu fī al-Maʿnā fī al-Qurʿan al-Karīm. Dār Gharīb. Cairo. Egypt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Al-Shamsān, A. I. (1986). Al-Fiʿil fī al-Qurʿan al-Karīm Taʿadyatuhu wa Luzūmuhu. The Kuwait University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Aldahesh, A. Y. (2016a). Pinning Down the Phenomenon of Phrasal Verbs in Arabic. International Journal of language and Linguistics. 3 (1), pp. 12-24. ISSN 2374-8850 (Print), 2374-8869 (Online).

Lentzner tackles the issue from prepositions rather than verbs perspective, focusing on the function of prepositions when they combine with verbs and ignoring the semantic characteristics of the verbs and their major input to the overall meaning of the structure when they combine with prepositions<sup>13</sup>. Another example, which is more relevant to our topic, is Dawood's treatment of this phenomenon in the Qur'an. He amply traces all verbpreposition occurrences in the Qur'an, elaborates on their syntactic and semantic nuances, and provides some quite fruitful insights, charts and statistics on the Qur'anic usage of such a phenomenon. Yet, like other researchers, he does not differentiate between the idiomatic and non-idiomatic types of these combinations. This is, in our view, due to the very perspective from which he tackles this phenomenon, that is Ta'llug Harf al-Jarr bi al-Fi'il Wa 'Atharahu fī al-Ma'nā fī al-Qur'ān al-Karīm 'the preposition-verb relation and its impact on meanings in the Qur'an'. Tackling the issue from this wide-ranging view, Dawood incorporates every single combination of verb-preposition in the Our'an without paying attention to the idiomaticity of some combinations and non-idiomaticity of others. As far as we are concerned, *al-ta 'lluq* (relation, attachment/dependency) is one of the vital factors that shape idiomaticity of the Arabic verb-preposition combinations; nonetheless it is not the only factor. This factor may occur in many Arabic verb-preposition combinations with no effect on their idiomaticity as shall we see below. Likewise, al-Shamsan, in his treatment of verbs in the Qur'an, provides some interesting insights and comes up with a number of useful tables of verbs and the prepositions with which they typically combine. Yet, he stops short from differentiating between the idiomatic and non-idiomatic types of these combinations. This is, once more, due to the standpoint he opts for to explore this phenomenon, that is al-ta  $add\bar{t}$ wa al-luzūm 'verb transitivity and intransitivity'. As far as we are concerned, the issue of verb transitivity and/or intransitivity constitutes another important factor that may contribute to idiomaticity of the Arabic verbpreposition combinations, yet it is not the only factor as we shall see below. The point need to be made here is that our focus in this study is on the idiomatic type of Qur'anic verb-preposition combination, which has a figurative, metaphorical and non-transparent significance. Whereas the other type falls out of the scope of this study since its meaning is straightforward and pose no problem at all to readers, commentators and translators of the Qur'an. To the best of our knowledge and research, we know of no such specific analysis. Hence the importance of this study, which aims to bridge the gap by exploring the phenomenon of QIPVs per se, and accounting for the key factors that govern the syntactic and semantic relationships between their constituents.

# 2. Syntactic Properties of QIPVs

# 2.1 Word Class of QIPVs

In what follows we will briefly shed some light on the two key components of the QIPVs, *vis*. the Arabic proper verbs and prepositions:

# 2.1.1Proper Verbs

Proper Arabic verb (*al-fi* '*il*) is a linguistic unit that denotes an action. This action is undertaken by *al-fā* '*il* (agent) in one of the following manners: optionally as in: '*akala* (to eat) *qa* '*ada* (to sit), or by attributing the action to the agent as in:  $m\bar{a}ta$  (to die)<sup>14</sup>. In the main, Arabic proper verbs fall into two key categories, namely: *thulāthī* (triliteral) and *rubā* '*ī* (quadriliteral). The first category refers to verbs with three-consonant roots, while the second category refers to verbs with four-consonant roots. Many forms can be derived from both triliteral and quadriliteral verbs by utilising a number of morphological patterns '*awzān*' (also known by western scholars as 'verb forms')<sup>15</sup>. Arabic proper verbs are marked for person, gender and number. There are three classes as far as person is concerned, namely: first person (e.g., '*adrusu* 'I study'), second person (e.g., *tadrusu* 'You study), and third person (e.g., *yadrusu* 'He studies). In terms of gender, there exist two classes, namely: masculine (e.g., *ydrusu* 'He studies), and feminine (e.g., *ydrusuu* 'He studies), dual (e.g., *yadrusāni* 'They study), and plural (e.g., *yadrusāna* 'They study). As far as time is concerned, Arabic proper verbs can be conjugated into three classes namely: past (e.g., *darasa* 'He studied), present (e.g., *yadrusā* 'He studies) and future by prefixing either *sa* or *soufa* (e.g., *soufayadrusu* 'He will study).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Al-Shamsān, 198, p. 17.Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> For more details see Ryding, 2005.Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 15.

In addition, there are four major moods of Arabic proper verbs, being: indicative (e.g., yadrusu 'He studies), subjunctive (e.g., 'anyadrusa 'To study), jussive (e.g., lamyadrus 'He did not study), and imperative (e.g., 'udrus 'Study). Furthermore, Arabic proper verbs fall into two types in terms of voice, namely: active (e.g., darasa 'He studied), and passive (e.g., durisa 'Was studied)<sup>16</sup>. What is relevant to our topic here is that Arabic proper verbs, in its all the above-mentioned types, may well constitute the first component of the OIPV. Let us consider this point by means of illustrative examples:

- 1) 'Ulā'ika allathīnataba'a Allahu 'alā qulubihim wa sam'ihim wa abṣārihim<sup>17</sup>'These are people whose hearts, hearing, and sight have been closed off by God'<sup>18</sup> (*taba 'a 'alā*is an active voice QIPV), and;
- 2) Thālika bi annahum 'āmanu thumma kafarū fa tubi 'a alā qulubihim fa hum lā yafqahūn 'because they professed faith and then rejected it, so their hearts have been sealed and they do not understand'<sup>19</sup> (tubi'a  $al\bar{a}$  a passive voice OIPV)<sup>20</sup>.

What is more relevant to our study is that Arabic proper verbs have been classified into two different groups as to their combination with prepositions, namely: Restricted verbs and non-restricted verbs. The restricted Arabic verbs are verbs combine with one particular preposition. E.g. 'abaga' fled' which appears in the Qur'an with one preposition only that is 'ilā in: 'ith 'abaqa 'ilā al-fulki al-mashhūn<sup>21</sup> 'He fled to the overloaded ship'<sup>22</sup>. The nonrestricted Arabic verbs, however, are verbs that can combine with many prepositions. E.g. dakhala which appeared in the Qur'an combined with bi-<sup>23</sup>, ' $al\bar{a}^{24}$ ,  $min^{25}$ , and  $f\bar{t}^{26}$ . Having said that, a verb could be a restricted verb in the Qur'anic usage but a non-restricted one in the general usage of Arabic. E.g. the aforementioned verb 'abaqa, which may combine with the preposition min in the general usage<sup>27</sup>: 'abaqa al-'abdu minsayydihi<sup>28</sup> 'the slave fled from his master<sup>29</sup>.

### 2.1.2 Prepositions

Arabic linguists provide two labels for Arabic prepositions. Classical Arabic linguists of al-Kūfa school call them hurūf al-idāfa, due to the fact that prepositions are genitive particles add the meaning of verbs, which precede them to the meaning of nouns, which follow them<sup>30</sup>. Classical linguists of al-Basrah school and modern linguists, on the other hand, name Arabic prepositions huruf al-jarr or huruf al-khafd, due to the fact that they put nouns, which come after them, into the *majrūr* 'genitive' case<sup>31</sup>. Unlike verbs and nouns, prepositions have no dictionary meanings; they rather have functional meanings, which cannot be figured out unless they are employed in contexts. Thus, it is the context only that gives prepositions their significances and allows us to favor one meaning over another in a given structure<sup>32</sup>. Therefore, Arabic prepositions are characterized by being context sensitive entities.

- <sup>22</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 452
- <sup>23</sup> Q. 2:23
- <sup>24</sup> Q. 5:23 and Q. 13:23
- <sup>25</sup> O. 12:67
- <sup>26</sup> Q. 110:2

<sup>29</sup> Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p.15

<sup>32</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p.15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> O.16:180

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Abdel Haleem, M. A. S. (2010). The Qur'an: English Translation and Parallel Arabic Text. Oxford University Press. Oxford, p. 280

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Ibid, p. 555

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p.15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Q. 37:140. Cf.Dāwood, 2002, p. 1:7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p. 1/7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Ibn Manzūr, Jamāluddīn Muhammad bin Makram (1994). Lisān al-'Arab. Third Edition. Dār Ṣādir, Beirut, Lebanon. Cf.Dāwood, 2002, p. 1:7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Haywood, J. A., and Nahmad, H. M. (1965). A New Arabic Grammar of the Written Language. London: Lund Humphries, p. 412; Ghalāyīnī, M. (1986). Jāmić al-Durūs al-ʿArabyyati. Beirut: Al-Maktabat al-ʿAṣriyya, pp. 167-168; Al-Sāmarrā'ī, I. (1979). Muqaddimatun fi Tārīkh al- Arabyyati. Dār al-Hurriyya. Baghdad, Iraq, p. 12. Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>Aldahesh, A. Y. (2009b). Notes on Western Scholars' Classification of Arabic Prepositions. Proceedings of the Conference "Arabic Language on Perspective of Social and Culture". October 12-14, 2009. North Sumatra University, Indonesia, Medan. Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p.16

Their functional meanings cannot be deduced from their lexical individual and decontextualised meanings. Such meanings can only be comprehended by taking into account the surrounding textual and contextual factors. Arabic prepositions are also language-specific items. Arabic language has its own unique manner of utilizing them. Such a manner may or may not overlap with the manners of utilizing prepositions in other languages. The specificity and context sensitivity of Arabic prepositions are the main two reasons behind the difficulty of mastering their usage by non-native learners of Arabic language<sup>33</sup>. Arabic linguists classify Arabic prepositions into three types, namely<sup>34</sup>:

- Authentic/genuine prepositions, which complete the meanings of entities with which they are combined, put nouns that come after them in a genitive case, and need *muta 'alliq* (relator). It is this type of prepositions that is the very focus of this study<sup>35</sup>. Authentic prepositions are twelve in number: *min*, *bi* (the letter bā'), *ka* (the letter kāf), *li* (the letter lām), '*ilā*, *ḥattā*, 'an, 'alā, *fī*, matā, wāw, and *ta* (the letter tā');
- 2) Redundant prepositions, which do not need *muta aliq*. They are four prepositions: *min*, *bi* (the letter bā'), *ka* (the letter kāf), and *la* (the letter lām). Redundant prepositions appear to serve one particular communicative function that is to confirm the meaning of the sentence in which they are employed<sup>36</sup>, and;
- 3) Quasi-redundant prepositions, which have new meanings and do not need *muta* 'aliq. They are six in number: *khalā*, 'adā, hāshā, rubba, la 'alla, and lowlā<sup>37</sup>.

It is crucial to say that not all Arabic prepositions have the ability to combine with verbs to form QIPVs. Only the authentic, genuine, 'true' prepositions, which can be used in abstract senses, are used for that purpose<sup>38</sup>. The 'true' prepositions, semantically speaking, are of two types: locative prepositions and directional prepositions. The locative prepositions specify 'where' something is either in space or in time. While the directional prepositions specify a 'change' in location, or movement in space or time.<sup>39</sup>. The locative prepositions are three in number: bi-(by, in, with),  $f\bar{i}$  (at, in), and  $al\bar{a}$  (on)<sup>40</sup>. The directional prepositions, however, are of two kinds. The first kind is prepositions, which indicate direction 'towards'. They are two prepositions: '*ilā* (to, toward), and *li*- (to)<sup>41</sup>. The second kind is prepositions signify direction 'from'. They are three prepositions: min (from), an (from, away from), and munth $\bar{u}$  (since)<sup>42</sup>. It is worth mentioning that redundant and quasi-redundant prepositions fall out of the intention of this study because they have no *ta* 'alluq (attachment/relation) with the verb<sup>43</sup>. In addition, the preposition munth $\bar{u}$  (since) is not used in the Our'an. Furthermore, the prepositions ta- (the letter ta') and waw are used in the Qur'an but not in combination with verbs<sup>44</sup>. It is also worth mentioning that only eight of the authentic, genuine, 'true' prepositions are used in combination with verbs throughout the Qur'an. They are: min, bi- (the letter ba'), li- (the letter lam), ila, hatta, ian, iala, and fi. These ones are the prepositions widely used in everyday language of Arabic speaking communities nowadays. This indicates the huge influence of the Qur'an on the Arabic language and its users<sup>45</sup>. Arabic prepositions have their own basic functional meanings alongside with a number of other associated meanings. It is not unusual to see a meaning of a preposition overlapping with meanings of other prepositions<sup>46</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Aldahesh, A. Y. (2013). Context Sensitivity and Language Specificity of Arabic Verb-Preposition Structure: The Case Study of English Learners of Arabic. International Journal of Linguistics. Vol. 5. No. 3. ISSN 1948-5425. Doi: 10.5296/ijl.v5i3.3933, p.188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Cf. Zainul 'ābidīn, B. N. (2008). Hurūf al-Jarr al-zā'ida wa al-shabīha bil zā'ida: Dirāsa Nahwyya. Majalat al-'u ūm wa al-thaqāfa. Vo. 9 (2); and Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 28-29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Ibid, p. 16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Lentzner, 1977, p. 33; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Ibid, p. 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> The prepositions (bi-' and 'alā) are the most commonly used in combination with verbs. See Lentzner, 1977, p. 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>Hattā (up to, until) is also considered as one of this type of prepositions. See Lentzner, 1977, p. 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Ibid, p. 33; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p. 1:4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Ibid, pp.1: 29-31

Both classical and modern Arabic grammarians have accounted for the functional and associated meanings of Arabic prepositions in detail <sup>47</sup>. The basic functional meanings of the 'true' Arabic prepositions are as follows: Bisignifies *al-ilṣāq* (affixing);  $fi^{49}$ signifies *al-zarfiyya* (adverbial); *alā<sup>50</sup>* signifies *al-isti 'lā'* (superiority); *li-*<sup>51</sup>signifies *al-ikhtişāş* (habitual belonging); ' $il\bar{a}^{52}$ signifies*intihā*' *al-ghāya* (end of destination); *min*<sup>53</sup>signifies *ibtidā* ' *al-ghāva* (start of destination); and '*an*<sup>54</sup> signifies *al-mujāwaza* (going beyond)<sup>55</sup>.

### 2.1.3 Syntactic Relationships of Verbs and Prepositions

Generally speaking, Arabic verbs are of six main categories as to their syntactic relationships with the prepositions they combine with, namely<sup>56</sup>:

- 1) Verbs that typically require prepositions. E.g., intaqama, which requires the preposition min (to take revenge on someone), and *takhllā*, which requires the preposition 'an (to abandon, relinquish something).
- 2) Transitive verbs that can optionally take prepositions. E.g.,  $z\bar{a}da$ , which may occur with min (to increase something), and *bahatha*, which may occur with 'an (to search someone, something).
- 3) Intransitive verbs that use prepositions in construction with a noun phrase. E.g., sahira 'alā (to watch over someone), and *dahikamin* (to laugh at someone, something).
- 4) Verbs, which are passive either in form or meaning, in which prepositions mark underlying agents. E.g., *ukhitha bi*- (to be influenced by something), and *u jiba bi*- (to admire someone, something).
- 5) Verbs that involve two noun phrases introduced by prepositions. E.g., samihabi- (to permit someone to do something), and 'amlā 'alā (to dictate something to someone).
- Verbs that take one preposition for one meaning, another preposition for other meanings. E.g., raghiba fi (to desire something), and raghiba 'an (to detest something).

<sup>47</sup> ees liated erom roFAl-Rummāni, Abi al-Hassan Ali bin 'Isā (2005). Ma'ānī al-Hurūf. Al-Maktaba al-'Asryya. Beirut, Lebanon. Al-Shamsān (1986) provides a table for each preposition and the verbs with which it combines (pp. 224-253) and elaborates on the semantic meaning of seven prepositions ('ilā, bī-', 'alā, 'an, fī, li-, and min) (pp. 733-738). Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 17

 $<sup>^{48}</sup>$ Its other associated meanings include: al-tab'īd (partition), al-sababiyya (cause), al-musāhaba (accompanying), al-ta'diya (transitivity), al-isti'āna (assistance), al-zarfiyya (adverbial), al-isti'lā' (superiority), al-mujāwaza (going beyond), al-badal (replacement), al-'awad (compensation), al-ta'līl (justification), al-qasam (oath), and intihā' al-ghāya (end of destination). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1:30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Its other associated meanings include: intihā' al-ghāya (end of destination), al-isti'lā' (superiority), al-ilṣāq (affixing), almuşāhaba (accompanying), al-'awad (compensation), al-ta'līl (justification), al-muqāyasa (analogy), and al-sababiyya (cause). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1:30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Its other associated meanings include: al-mujāwaza (going beyond), al-zarfiyya (adverbial), al-ilsāq (affixing), al-ta'līl (justification), ma'nā ba'da (the meaning of after), and al-al-muşāhaba (accompanying). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>Its other associated meanings include: istihqaq (deserving), mulk (possession), al-tabyyn (clarifying), al- şayrūra (act of becoming), al-ta'līl (justification), al-nasab (attribution), al-tablīgh (reporting), ma'nā 'inda (the meaning of having), intihā' al-ghāva (end of destination), al-mujāwaza (going beyond), al-isti'lā' (superiority), al-zarfivva (adverbial), and al-ta'diva (transitivity) Classical Arabic grammarians and linguists have given a great deal of attention to this preposition. Az-Zajajī (d. 337 H) dedicated a whole monograph to account for its properties. He distinguished thirty-one types of the letter lām some of which overlap. Sibawayh refers to the meaning of mulk and istihqāq in lām al-garr or lām al 'idafa in which it functions as "a particle making the noun or pronominal suffix annexed to it in halat al-garr or the so-called 'genitive case'". Cf. Dawood, 2002, pp.1: 30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738; Shboul, A. M. H. (1983). "Having" In Arabic. Journal of Arabic Linguistics. Otto Harrassowitz Wiesbaden. Heft 11, pp. 24-47, p. 30.

 $<sup>5^{22}</sup>$ Its other associated meanings include: ibtidā' al-ghāya (start of destination), al-zarfiyya (adverbial), al-ilşāq (affixing), alikhtişās (habitual belonging), al-tabyyn (clarifying), and al-al-muşāhaba (accompanying). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>Its other associated meanings include: intihā' al-ghāya (end of destination), al-mujāwaza (going beyond), al-'isti'laa' (superiority), al-zarfivva (adverbial), al-ilsāg (affixing), bayān al-jins (gender determining), al-badal (replacement), al-tabyyn (clarifying), al-ta'līl (justification), al-fasl (separating), and al-tab'īd (partition). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>Its other associated meanings include: al-isti'lā' (superiority), al-zarfiyya (adverbial), al-ilṣāq (affixing), al-isti'āna (assistance), al-sababiyya (cause), al-badal (replacement), al-tabyyn (clarifying), al-ta'līl (justification), al-faşl (separating), ma'nā ba'da (the meaning of after), and al-tab'īd (partition). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1:30-31; Al-Shamsān, 1986, pp. 733-738. <sup>55</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p.17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Lentzner 1977, pp. 155-195; and Aldahesh, 2016a, pp.17-18

### 2.2 Word Order of QIPVs

Depending upon the preposition's location in a given Qur'anic construction, QIPVs can be classified into two types with regard to their word order patterns, namely: non-split QIPVs and split QIPVs.

### 2.2.1 Non-Split QIPVs

In this type of QIPVs the preposition is located right after the verb with which it combines. Depending upon the verbs' transitivity, tense, and voice and the number of prepositions with which they combine, the non-split type of QIPVs falls into a number of syntactic patterns<sup>57</sup>. These patterns are listed and illustrated in the Table below:

Non-Split QIPVs				
Syntactic Pattern	Illustrative Example	Translation		
Intransitive Present Active Verb +	يكاد سنا برقه يذهب بالأبصار	" the flash of its lightning almost snatches sight		
Preposition	(النور: ٤٣)	away" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 356).		
Intransitive Present Passive Verb	يؤفك عنه من أفك (الذاريات:	" those who turn away from it are [truly]		
+ Preposition	(٩	deceived" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 522).		
Intransitive Past Active Verb +	هو الذي خلق لكم ما في	"It was He who created all that is on the earth for		
Preposition	الأرض جميعا ثم استوى إلى	you, then turned to the sky" (Abdel Haleem 2010,		
	السماء (البقرة:٢٩)	p. 6).		
Intransitive Past Passive Verb +	ولما سقط في أيديهم	"When, with much wringing of hands" (Abdel		
Preposition	(الأعراف: ١٤٩)	Haleem 2010, p. 169).		
Intransitive Imperative Active	اركض برجلك هذا مغتسل	"Stam your foot! Here is cool water for you to		
Verb + Preposition	بارد وشراب (ص: ٤٢)	wash in and drink" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 456).		
Transitive Present Active Verb +	واجلب عليهم بخيلك ورجلك	" muster your cavalry and infantry against		
Preposition + Preposition	(الإسراء: ٢٤)	them" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 289).		
Transitiv PastActive Verb +	وإذ قلنا لك إن ربك أحاط	"[Prophet], We have told you that your Lord		
Preposition	بالناس (الإسراء: ٦٠)	knows all about human beings" (Abdel Haleem		
		2010, p. 289).		
TransitivePresentPassive Verb +	قال لن أرسله معكم حتى	"He said, 'I will never send him with you, not		
Preposition	تؤتون موثقا من الله لتأتنني به	unless you swear by God that you will bring him		
	إلا أن يحاط بكم (يوسف: ٦٦)	back to me if that is humanly possible." (Abdel		
		Haleem 2010, p. 244).		
Transitive Past Passive Verb +	وضربت عليهم الذلة والمسكنة	"They were struck with humiliation and		
Preposition	(البقرة: ٦١)	wretchedness" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 10).		
Intransitive Future Verb +	سنفرغ لكم أيها الثقلان	"We shall attend to you two huge armies [of jinn		
Preposition	(الرحمن: ۳۱)	and mankind]" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 533).		
Transitive Imperative Verb +	أن أسر بعبادي فاضرب لهم	"Go out at night with My servants and strike a dry		
Preposition	طريقا في البحر يبسا	path for them across the sea" (Abdel Haleem		
	(طه:۲۷)	2010, p. 318).		
Negative Interrogation +	ألم نشرح لك صدرك (الشرح:	"Did we not relieve your heart for you" (Abdel		
Transitive Verb + Preposition	()	Haleem 2010, p. 597).		
Conditional Particle +	فإن عثر على أنهما استحقا إثما	"If it is discovered that these two are guilty [of		
Intransitive Past Passive Verb +	فأخران يقومان مقامهما	perjury], two of those whose rights have been		
Preposition	(المائدة: ۱۰۷)	usurped have a better right to bear witness in their		
		place" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 126).		

# 2.2.2 Split QIPVs

In this type of QIPVs the preposition is not located immediately after the verb with which it combines. It is rather separated from it by one or more constituents.

The split type falls into a number of syntactic patterns depending upon the type of the verb (i.e., transitivity, tense, and voice), the number of constituents, and the number of prepositions that come after that verb<sup>58</sup>. These patterns are listed and illustrated in the Table below:

Split QIPVs				
Syntactic Pattern	Illustrative Example	Translation		
IntransitivePresent Active Verb	قالوا إن هذان لساحر ان يريدان أن	"Saying, 'These two men are sorcerers. Their		
+ Agent + Preposition +	يخرجاكما من أرضكم بسحر هما ويذهبا	purpose is to drive you out of your land with their		
Object	بطريقتكم المثلى (طه: ٦٣)	sorcery and put an end to your time-honoured way		
		of life." (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 316).		
IntransitivePresent Active Verb	فلا <u>تذهب نفسك عليهم</u> حسرات (فاطر:	" do not waste your soul away with regret for $\frac{1}{2}$		
+ Agent + Object +	(^	<u>them</u> " (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 436).		
Preposition	ولا يرغبوا بأنفسهم عن نفسه (التوبة:	"		
Negative Particle + TransitivePresent Active Verb	ولا بر عبوا بالفسهم عن فسله (اللوبة:	" nor should they have cared about themselves more than him" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 207).		
+ Agent + Prepositional	(,,,	<u>Inore than him</u> (Abdel Haleeni 2010, p. 207).		
Phrase + Preposition				
Transitive Past Active Verb +	حتى إذا أخذنا مترفيها بالعذاب إذا هم	"When We bring Our punishment on those		
Agent + Object + Preposition	مي ہ <u>ہ محمد مرجع بحمب ہے</u> مرجم مرح کا ک	<u>corrupted with wealth</u> , they will cry for help"		
	(	(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 347).		
Transitive Past Active Verb +	فضربنا على آذانهم في الكهف سنين	"We sealed their ears [with sleep] in the cave for		
Agent + Preposition	عددا (الكهف: ١١)	years." (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 295).		
Transitive Present Active Verb	ويضرب الله الأمثال لل أناس لعلهم	"God makes such comparisons for people so that		
+ Agent + Object +	يتذكرون (إبراهيم: ٢٥)	they may reflect" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 260).		
Preposition				
Transitive Past Active Verb +	جاءتهم رسلهم بالبينات فردوا أيديهم في	"Their messengers came to them with clear proof,		
Agent + Object + Preposition	أفواههم (إبراهيم: ٩)	but they tried to silence them" (Abdel Haleem		
		2010, p. 257).		
Intransitive Imperative Verb +	فاستقيموا إليم واستغفروه (فصلت: ٦)	"Take the straight path to Him and seek His		
Agent + Preposition		forgiveness" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 478).		
Transitive Past Passive Verb +	ولو ترى إذ <u>وقفوا على</u> النار (الأنعام:	"If you could only see, when they are made to stand		
Agent + Preposition	(**	before the Fire" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 131).		
Transitive Imperative Verb +	وأقيموا الوزن بالفسط ولا تخسروا	" weight with justice and do not fall short in the		
Agent + Object + Preposition	الميزان (الرحمن: ٩)	balance" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 532).		
Transitive Imperative Verb +	أن القذفيه في التابوت فاقذفيه في اليم (طه: ٣٩)	" <u>Put your child into the chest</u> , then place him in the		
Object + Preposition		river" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 315).		
Negative Particle +	واتقوا يوما <u>لا تجزي نفس عن</u> نفس شيئا	"Guard yourselves against a Day when no soul will		
TransitivePresent Active Verb	راليقرة: ٨٤)	replace another in any way" (Abdel Haleem 2010,		
+ Agent + Preposition		p. 8).		
Negative Particle +	ولا تأكلوا أموالهم إلى أموالكم (النساء:	" and do not consume their property along with		
TransitivePresent Active Verb	7)	your own" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 78).		
+ Agent + Object +	× ·			
Preposition				
Preposition + Noun + Verb	الرحمن على العرش استوى (طه: ٥)	"the Lord of Mercy, established on the throne."		
		(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 313).		
Preposition + Pronoun + Verb	ولما ضرب ابن مريم مثلا إذا قومك منه	"When the son of Mary is cited as an example, your		
	<u>يصدون</u> (الزخرف: ٥٧)	people [Prophet] laugh and jeer" (Abdel Haleem		
		2010, p. 494).		
Preposition + Pronoun +	فيها يفرق كل أمر حكيم (الدخان: ٤)	" a night when every matter of wisdom was made		
Present Passive Verb	(5.64 a) (*115 a are sate t	distinct" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 497).		
Preposition + Noun + Present	<u>على النار يفتنون</u> (الذاريات: ١٣)	"On a Day when they will <u>be punished by the Fire</u> "		
Passive Verb		(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 522).		

### Table 2: Split QIPVs

It is quite telling to note that there exist a number of other syntactic patterns of verb-preposition combinations in the Qur'an. Nevertheless, we have excluded them for they are non-idiomatic thus irrelevant to the present study.

It is more telling to know that scholars, who investigated these patterns in the Qur'an, albeit they confused the idiomatic with the non-idiomatic combinations, have come up with incredibly valuable statistical insights that merit to be taken into our consideration here. They are summarized in what follows:

- Interestingly, Arabic prepositions combine with transitive verbs much more than they do with intransitive verbs throughout the Qur'an.
- Patterns of the majority of the intransitive verbs + preposition combinations are non-split. While the majority of the transitive verbs + preposition combinations are split patterns.
- On the whole, the split and non-split patterns of verb-preposition combinations in the Qur'an are equal in number.
- The patterns of verbs + one preposition outnumber the patterns of verbs + two prepositions. While the patterns of verbs + three prepositions are quite rare (only three combinations in the whole Scripture)<sup>59</sup>.

### 3. Semantic Properties of QIPVs

### 3.1 Semantic Relationships between Verbs and Prepositions

QIPVs are the product of combining prepositions with proper verbs. In such a combination, the prepositions significantly modify the basic meanings of the verbs with which they combine to the extent that changing a given preposition causes changing the overall meaning of the whole combination. Therefore, there is a semantic interaction between the verbs and the prepositions, which entails a "semantic transfer" and a "specific semantic modification" of the verbs to the extent that they change their dictionary meanings. This interaction between verbs and prepositions affects the degree of the QIPVs idiomaticity<sup>60</sup>. Let us consider this semantic relationship by means of some examples:

- 1) Wa 'ithā<u>darabtumfī</u> al-'ardi<sup>61</sup> "When you [believers] are travelling in the land"<sup>62</sup>. The prepositions fī in this example has changed the original meaning of the verb <u>daraba</u> 'to blow/hit' into a new meaning, that is 'to walk or to travel'.
- 2) Wa man <u>yarghabu</u> 'an millati 'Ibrāhīm<sup>63</sup> "Who but a fool would forsake the religion of Abraham"<sup>64</sup>.
- The preposition '*an* in this example has changed the original meaning of the verb *raghiba* (to like something and seeking it) into a new meaning, that is 'to dislike something and go away from it'.
- 3) 'Ulā'ika allathīna 'imtahana Allahu qulūbahum li al-taqwā<sup>65</sup> "Whose hearts God has proved to be aware"<sup>66</sup>.
- The prepositions *li* in the third example has changed the original significance of the verb *imtahana* 'to examine or evaluate something' into a new significance, that is 'to prepare something for something else'<sup>67</sup>.

In their treatment of prepositions, Arabic classical linguists did not account for the semantic interaction between prepositions and verbs when they combine with them<sup>68</sup>. Yet, modern linguists who have studied the phenomenon of QIPVs arrived ata sort of regularity between the prepositions and the types of verbs with which they combine throughout the Qur'anic discourse. They proclaim that the preposition 'alāusually collocates with verbs signify al-in'ām wa al-tafaddul (bestowing favour). For instance: laqa manna Allahu 'alāal-Mu'mnīn<sup>69</sup> "God has been gracious to the believers"<sup>70</sup>. The preposition 'ancollocates with verbs signify al-tejāwz wa al-ṣafḥ wa al-musāmaḥa (pardon and forgiveness).

<sup>65</sup> Q. 49:3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 67-68

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p. 1:6; Lentzner, 1977, p.161; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Q. 4:101

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 95

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Q. 2:130

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 516

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 18. For more examples see Dāwood, 2002, p. 1: 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup>Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Q. 3:164

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 72

<sup>20</sup> 

For example: 'fanadribu'ankumu al-thikra Safhan 'in kuntum qawman musrfīn<sup>71</sup> "Should We ignore you and turn this revelation away from you because you are an insolent people?"<sup>72</sup>. The prepositions min and 'ilā collocate with verbs signify motion in time and place<sup>73</sup>. For example: wa 'ilā rabbika frghab<sup>74</sup> "and direct your requests to vour Lord"<sup>75</sup>.

Another aspect worthy of consideration is that the relationship between verbs and prepositions in the QIPVs is multifaceted. It is of two parallel dimensions, they are: grammatical colligation<sup>76</sup> dimension and semantic collocation<sup>77</sup> dimension to use Firth's (1957-1986) terminologies. In addition, the overall meaning of the QIPV is shaped by four distinct variables, namely: 1) the original meaning of the verb, 2) the contextual meaning of the verb, 3) the associated meaning of the preposition (the special meaning understood from the preposition as a result of its combination with a verb), and 4) the object governed by the preposition, whether it is a human or nonhuman, indicating time or indicating place etc. $^{78}$ .

Classical and modern Arabic linguists have addressed this complex relationship between verbs and prepositions from four interconnected perspectives, viz.: al-ta'alluq (verb-preposition relation/attachment/dependency), alta 'addī (verb transitivity), al-ināba (preposition substitution) and al-tadmīn (verb implication)<sup>79</sup>. Al-ta 'alluqis the main factor in distinguishing between the idiomatic and non-idiomatic Arabic verb-preposition structures<sup>80</sup>. It signifies that the meaning of the verb is completed by both the preposition and the noun governed by that preposition<sup>81</sup>. This term was first mentioned by Abdul Qāhir al-Jurjānī (d. 471 H) in his book Dalā'il al-I'jāz wherein he established his own notion of al-Nazum 'discourse arrangement'. Al-Jurjānī argues that al-Nazum is nothing but relating (ta alluq) types of speech (nouns, verbs, and particles) to each other. Consequently, alta alluq, according to him, is of three categories, namely: relating a noun to another noun, relating a noun to a verb, and relating a particle to a noun or to a verb. The category of relating a particle to a noun or to a verb is of three types one of which is relating a preposition to a verb. Once a preposition is related to a verb that verb gains the sense of transitivity when it is an intransitive verb<sup>82</sup>. Furthermore, *al-ta alluq* establishes a semantic link, which makes the preposition and its object bound to the verb "just as part is bound to its whole, or a branch to its root<sup>383</sup>. The verb in such a structure is called by grammarians *muta alliq* (relator). The *muta alliq* can bezāhir (stated/itemized) or mahth $\bar{u}f$  (unstated/implied)<sup>84</sup>. Only the first type of the muta 'allig is relevant to this study. The second perspective from which the issue of Arabic verb-preposition relationship is approached by Arabic linguists is *al-ta* '*addī* (verb transitivity). As far as transitivity is concerned, Arabic verbs fall in to two categories<sup>85</sup>:

<sup>78</sup> CF. Al-Shamsān, 1986, p. 252 & p. 747; Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 21-22; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 18.

<sup>80</sup>Cf. Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 21

<sup>82</sup> Al-Jurjānī, Abdul Qāhir bin Abdirrahmān (1992). Dalā'il al-I'jāz. Edited by Mahmoud Muhammad Shākir. Matba'at al-Madanī. Cairo. Egypt, pp. 4-7; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19.

<sup>83</sup> Hassan, Abbās (1963). Al-Nahū al-Wāfī, Third Edition, Dār al-Maʿārif, Cairo, Egypt, pp. 2: 405-406. Cf. Lentzner, 1977, p. 29; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19.

<sup>84</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Q. 34:5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 490

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Dāwood, 2002, pp. 1: 23-24; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Q. 94:8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 597

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup>Colligation (intizām) refers to the grammatical conditions for combining a set of words. The English verb prefer, for example, colligates with to + infinitive as in I prefer to go. It does not colligate with the -ing form (CF. Daud, N., Dollah, N., & Zubir, B. (2003). Linguistics Dictionary: English-Arabic Arabic-English. Kuala Lampur: A. S. Noordeen).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup>Collocation (al-muşāhaba or al-tadām) refers to the frequent co-occurrence of words that have developed an idiomatic semantic relation. Perform, for instance, collocates with operation, dog collocates with bark, and rancid collocates with butter (CF. Daud et al. 2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup>Heliel, 1994, p. 146. See also Al-Dahdah, A. (2009). Mi'jam Qawā'id al-Lughati al-'Arabyyati fī Jadāwil wa Lwhāt. Maktabat Lubnān Nāshirūn. Beirut, Lebanon, p. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup>Scholars who studied the notion of transitivity include: Sibawayh, Abu Bishir 'Amr bin Oanbar (1977). Al-Kitāb. Edited by 'Abdul Salām Hārūn. Al-hay'a al-Maşryya al-'āma lil kitāb. Cairo. Egypt, p. 1:34 and beyond; Al-Mubarrid, Abu al-'Abbās Muhammad bin Yazīd (1965). Al-Muqtadab. Edited by Muhammad Abdul Khāliq 'Adima. Al-Majlis al-A'lā lil Shi'ūn al-Islāmiyya. Cairo. Egyp, p. 3:187and beyond; Ibn al-Sarrāj, Abu Bakr Muhammad bin al-Sarrī bin Sahl (1973). Al-Aşul fī al-Nahū. Edited by Abdul Hussain al-Fatlī. Matba'at al-A'zamī. Baghdad. Iraq, p.1:203 and beyond; Al-Jurjāni, Abu Bakr

 $af {}^{\circ}al muta {}^{\circ}addiya binafsiha {}^{\circ}$ transitive verbs which pass on to their objects through themselves', and  $af {}^{\circ}al lazima$  or  $af {}^{\circ}al muta {}^{\circ}addiya bighayriha {}^{\circ}$ intransitive verbs which pass on to their objects through other means'<sup>86</sup>. There exista number of Arabic verbs, which have the ability to be used both transitively and intransitively, and some doubly transitive verbs<sup>87</sup>, which have the ability to govern more than one direct object, for example:  $ra {}^{\circ}a {}^{\circ}$  to see' and wajada 'to find'<sup>88</sup>. This has been said, there is no clear cut between the two categories of Arabic verb since they may be used transitively and intransitively in different contexts and for different communicative purposes<sup>89</sup>. There exist three means by which an intransitive Arabic verb can be changed into a transitive one, namely: 1) introducing *hamza* to it (e.g. *thahaba* 'to go' and 'athhaba 'to make something/someone to go'); 2) doubling the second radical letter of it (e.g. *fariḥa* 'to be happy' and *farraḥa* 'to make someone happy'); and 3) using prepositions (e.g. *thahaba* 'to go' and *thhaba 'ilā* 'to go to')<sup>90</sup>. Therefore, trasitivise the intransitive verb and vice versa is one of the major functions of Arabic prepositions<sup>91</sup>. Let us consider this issue by means of illustrative examples:

- Thahaba Allahu bi nūrihm<sup>92</sup> 'God takes away all their light'<sup>93</sup>. The verb thahaba is an intransitive Arabic verb. Yet, it becomes a transitive one when combines with the preposition bi-as in the above-mentioned Qur'anic verse<sup>94</sup>.
- 2) *Faliyahzar allathīna yukhālifūna 'in 'amrihi*<sup>95</sup> '... and those who go against his order should beware...'<sup>96</sup>. The verb *khālafa* is a transitive Arabic verb. Yet, it becomes an intransitive one when combines with the preposition '*an* as in the aforesaid Qur'anic verse<sup>97</sup>.

The last two perspectives from which the issue of Arabic verb-preposition relationship is approached by Arabic linguists are al- $in\bar{a}ba^{98}$  (preposition substitution) and al- $tadm\bar{n}n$  (verb implication). The issues of al- $in\bar{a}ba$  and al- $tadm\bar{n}n$  have been the interest of a number of classical and modern Arabic linguists. This is due to the comprehensive semantic range of Arabic verbs and prepositions and the overlap occurs among their meanings. Al- $in\bar{a}ba$  and al- $tadm\bar{n}n$  are interrelated phenomena in the sense that one cannot account for them separately<sup>99</sup>. Al- $in\bar{a}ba$  signifies that Arabic prepositions may substitute other prepositions in different contexts<sup>100</sup>. Such a substitution is attributed by Sybawayh (d. 180 H.) to two main reasons, namely: 1) diversity of Arabic dialects; and 2) significances' likeness of the prepositions<sup>101</sup>.

<sup>86</sup> cf. Heliel, 1994, p. 144; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19.

- <sup>88</sup>Al-Shamsān, 1987, p. 39; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19
- <sup>89</sup>Al-Shamsān, 1986, p. 749; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20
- <sup>90</sup>Al-Shamsān, 1987, pp. 22-45
- <sup>91</sup>For other transitivity particles see Al-Shamsān, 1987
- <sup>92</sup> Q. 2:17
- <sup>93</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 5
- <sup>94</sup> Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 21; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20.
- <sup>95</sup> Q. 24:63
- <sup>96</sup> Abdel Haleem, 2010, p. 60
- <sup>97</sup> Dāwood, 2002, p.1: 21; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20.
- <sup>98</sup> Some scholars call it al-ta 'āqub (succession). Cf. Dāwood, 2002, p. 1:32; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20
- <sup>99</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20
- <sup>100</sup>Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20
- <sup>101</sup>Sibawayh, (1977), p. 4:226; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 20

Abdul Qāhir bin Abdul Rahman (1982). Al-Muqtaşid fī Sharh al-'Idāḥ. Edited by Kāẓm Bahrul Marjān. Wizarat al-Thaqāfa wa al-I'lām al-'Irāqyya. Baghdad. Iraq, p. 1:628and beyond; Ibn Jinī, Abu al-Fatḥ Uthmān (1954). Al-Khaşā'iş. Edited by Muhammad 'Ali al-Najjār et al. Maţba'at al-Bābī al- Halabī. Cairo. Egypt, p. 2:214and beyond; Ibn Jinī, Abu al-Fatḥ Uthmān (1954). Al-Munşif. Edited by Ibrāhim Mustafā and Abdullāh Amīn. Maţba'at al-Bābī al- Halabī. Cairo. Egypt, p. 1:84 and beyond; Ibn Jinī, Abu al-Fatḥ Uthmān (1972). Al-Luma'. Edited by Fa'iz Fāris. First Edition. Dār al-Kutub al-Thaqāfyya. Kuwait. P. 51; Ibn Hishām, Abu Muhammad Abdullāh Jamāluddin bin Ahmad bin Abdullāh (1953). Shuthūr al-thahab. Edited by Muhammad Muhyddin Abdulhamīd. Sixth edition. Al-Maktaba al-Tijāryya al-Kubrā. Cairo. Egypt, p.354 and beyond; Al- Şiūţī, Jalaluddin Abdul Rahmān bin Abi Bakr (1327H). Ham' al-Hawāmi' Sharḥ Jam` al-Jawāmi'.

Edited by Badruddin Al-Naʿsāni. First edition. Maṭbaʿat al-Saʿāda. Cairo. Egypt, p. 5:9 and beyond; Qinano, A. (2005). Al-Mutqan: Miʿjam Taʿadi al-Afʿāl. Dār al-Ratib al-JJāmiʿyya. Beirut, Lebanon. Cf. Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Lentzner, 1977, p. 161.

Al-tadmīn, on the other hand, denotes that the meaning of a given Arabic verb may include a meaning of another verb and take a preposition not typically combines with it, it is rather combines with the other verb which its meaning is incorporated<sup>102</sup>. It is crucial to say that the process of employing one preposition instead of another preposition is not without its communicative purpose as indicated by Ibn Jinnī (d. 392 H). Ibn Jinnī argues that violating the norm of Arabic grammar by employing a particular preposition other than the preposition stereotypically combines with the verb at hand is made in order to communicate a delicate meaning and send a specific message to readers/hearers<sup>103</sup>. As one can see, all of the above-mentioned factors (i.e., *al-ta'allug*, *al*ta'addī, al-ināba, and al-tadmīn) play a vital role in determining the meanings and the idiomaticity degree of verbs and prepositions in different contexts.

Thus, understanding the meaning of QIPV entails these four factors to be taken into account. Firstly, the *muta allig* (relator) of the preposition needs to be known; secondly, the transitiveness of the verb at hand (whether it is a transitive or an intransitive verb) must be identified. This is necessary to determine the meaning of the preposition with which it combines, and to know whether this preposition is the preposition that typically combines with that verb or a replaced one (al-ināba) employed with that verb since the verb carries a meaning of another verb (*al-tadmīn*).

#### **3.2 Semantic Fields of OIPVs**

It is rather difficult to restrict the usage of QIPVs in the Qur'anic discourse to a limited number of semantic fields. This is mainly due to the nature of the Qur'an itself as a book of guidance that deals with a variety of human activities, thoughts, feelings, beliefs, and connections with the surrounding world. Therefore, the QIPVs have been employed in diverse semantic fields throughout the Our'an. Table 3 below lists and illustrates the major semantic fields of QIPVs<sup>104</sup>:

Semantic	Illustrative Example	Translation
Field	e c	
Movement	وقالوا لإخوانهم إذا ضربوا في الأرض أو غزى	" and said of their brothers who went out on a journey or
(Concrete)	(آل عمران: ۱۰٦)	raid" (Abdel Haleem, p. 71).
Movement	لا يأتيه الباطل من بين يديه ومن خلفه	" which falsehood cannot touch from any angle" (Abdel
(Metaphorical)	(فصلت: ٤٢)	Haleem 2010, p. 482).
Speech	فإذا ذهب الخوف سلقوكم بألسنة حداد	" when fear has passed, they attack you with sharp tongues"
	(الأحزاب: ١٩)	(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 421).
Natural sounds	ومثل الذين كفروا كمثل الذي ينعق بما لا يسمع إلا	"Calling to disbelievers is like a herdsman calling to things
	دعاء ونداء	that hear nothing but a shout and cry" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p.
	(البقرة: ۱۷۱)	27).
Cognition	ألم تر إلى الذي حاج إبراهيم في ربه أن آتاه الله	"[Prophet], have you not thought about the man who disputed
(Mental)	الملك	God had given him power to rule?" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p.
	(البقرة: ۲۵۸)	44).
Cognition	وكذلك أعثرنا عليهم ليعلموا أن وعد الله حق	"In this way We brought them to people's attention so that
(Sensual)	(الكهف: ٢١)	they might know that God's promise [of resurrection] is true"
		(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 297).
Feelings	ألم نشرح لك صدرك	"Did we not relieve your heart for you" (Abdel Haleem 2010,
	(الشرح: ۱)	p. 597).
Disobedience	ومن يكفر بالإيمان فقد حبط عمله و هو في الأخرة	"The deeds of anyone who rejects [the obligations of] faith
	من الخاسرين (المائدة: ٥)	will come to nothing, and in the Hereafter he will be one of the
		losers" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 108).
Obligation	يا أيها الذين أمنو اكتب عليكم الصيام كما كتب على	"You who believe, fasting is prescribed for you, as it was
-	الذين من قبلكم لعلكم تتقون	prescribed for those before you, so that you may be mindful of
	(البقرة: ۱۸۳)	God" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 29).
Objection	وما نقموا منهم إلا أن يؤمنوا بالله العزيز الحميد	"Their only grievance against them was their faith in God, the
	(البروج: ^)	Mighty, the Praise worthy" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 591).

**Table 3: Major Semantic Fields of QIPVs** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup>Ibn Jinnī, Al-Khaşā'iş, p.2: 308; Al-Shamsān, 1987, pp.68-72; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup>Cf. Al-'Atyya, 2008, p. 247; and Aldahesh, 2016a, p. 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup>Cf. Dāwood, 2002, pp.1: 71-72; and Aldahesh, 2016b, pp. 36-38.

Endeavour	ومن أظلم ممن منع مساجد الله أن بذكر فيها اسمه	"Who could be more wicked than those who prohibit the
Lindeuvour	وسعى في خرابها (البقرة: ١١٤)	mention of God's name in His places of worship and strive to
		have them deserted?" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 19).
Disclosure	وأصبح فؤاد أم موسى فارغا وإن كادت لتبدى به	"The next day, Moses' mother felt a void in her heart – if We
	لولا أن ربطنا على قابها لتكون من المؤمنين	had not strengthened it to make her one of those who believe,
	و تا و به وی د و به	she would have revealed everything about him" (Abdel
		Haleem 2010, p. 387).
Concealing	ختم الله على قلوبهم وعلى سمعهم وأبصار هم غشاوة	"God has sealed their hearts and their ears, and their eyes are
	ولمم عذاب عظيم	covered. They will have a great torment" (Abdel Haleem
	(البقرة: ۷)	2010, p. 4).
Prevention	أهؤلاء الذين أقسمتم لا ينالهم الله برحمته	"And are these the people you swore God would never bless?"
	(الأعراف: ٤٩)	(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 157).
Human	وكيف تأخذونه وقد أفضى بعضكم إلى بعض وأخذن	"How could you take it when you have lain with each other
relations	عليكم ميثاقا غليظا	and they have taken a solemn pledge from you?" (Abdel
	(النساء: ۲۱)	Haleem 2010, p. 82).
Astray	ومن يعشو عن ذكر الرحمن نقيض له شُيطانا فهو له	"We assign an evil one as a comrade for whoever turns away
	قرين	from the revelations of the Lord of Mercy" (Abdel Haleem
	(الزخرف: ٣٦)	2010, p. 493).
Preparation	إن الذين يغضون أصواتهم عند رسولُ الله أولئك	"It is those who lower their voices in the presence of God's
	الذين امتحن الله قلوبهم للتقوي لهم مغفرة وأجر	Messenger whose hearts God has proved to be aware - they
	عظيم	will have forgiveness, and a great reward -" (Abdel Haleem
	(الحجرات: ٣) ولا تأكلوا أموالهم إلى أموالكم (النساء: ٢)	2010, p. 516).
Consuming	ولا تأكلوا أموالهم إلى أموالكم (النساء: ٢)	" and do not consume their property along with your own"
		(Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 78).
Difficulty	وإن كان كبر عليك إعراضهم فإن استطعت أن	"If you find rejection by the disbelievers so hard to bear, then
	تبتغي نفقا في الأرض أو سلما في السماء فتأتيهم بآية	seek a tunnel into the ground or a ladder into the sky, if you
	(الأنعام: ٣٥)	can, and bring them a sign" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 179).
Support	وليربط على قلوبكم ويثبت به الأقدام	" to make your hearts strong and your feet firm" (Abdel
	(الأنفال: ١١)	Haleem 2010, p. 179).
Destiny	قلنا احمل فيها من كل زوجين اثنين وأهلك إلا من	"We said, Place on board this Ark a pair of each species, and
	سبق عليه القول	your own family – except those against whom the sentence has
	(هود: ۲۰)	already been passed" (Abdel Haleem 2010, p. 227).

A final crucial point needs to be added here is that the QIPVs may be classified, for analytical purposes, into two classes. The first class is metaphorical QIPVs and the second class is figurative QIPVs<sup>105</sup>. The majority of QIPVs fall under Nida's definition of 'metaphor' "a figurative expression used to make an explicit comparison between items"<sup>106</sup>. In addition, they have the three components of 'metaphor' devised by Newmark, namely: object, image, and sense. Moreover, they satisfy the two purposes of metaphor stated by Newmark, viz.: referential and pragmatic. As for the referential purpose, QIPVs "describe a mental process, a person, an object, a quality or an action more comprehensively and concisely than is possible in literal language"<sup>107</sup>. In regard with the pragmatic purpose, QIPVs is the figurative QIPVs by which we mean QIPVs that do not have the aforesaid components of 'metaphor' yet, still semantically non-transparent in that their intended meanings cannot be deduced from the total meanings of their constituent parts (i.e., verb and preposition)<sup>109</sup>. Let us illustrate this point by means of illustrative examples. The metaphorical QIPVs are illustrated in the following Qur'anic structure: *Kathālika waqd aḥaṭnā bimā ladayhi khubrā*<sup>110</sup> "And so it was: We knew all about him"<sup>111</sup>. The metaphorical QIPV employed in this verse is *aḥāṭa bi-*. The object of 'metaphor' here is: the thorough knowledge of something. The image of 'metaphor' is: the surrounding, or fencing. The sense of 'metaphor' is: to profound y know or fully understand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup>Aldahesh, 2016b, pp. 41-44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup>Nida, 1975, p. 231

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup>Newmark 1988, p. 104

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup>Newmark 1988, p. 104

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup>Aldahesh, 2016b, p. 43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> **Q**. 18:91

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup>Abdel Haleem, p. 304

The figurative QIPVs, on the other hand, are illustrated in the following Qur'anic structures: Wa 'ilā rabbika  $frghab^{112}$  "and direct your requests to your Lord"<sup>113</sup>. The figurative QIPV employed in this verse is raghiba 'ilā. This QIPV lacks of the three components of 'metaphor'. Yet, still semantically speaking non-transparent in that its overall intended meanings cannot be inferred from the total meanings of its constituent parts.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this study, we have concentrated on syntactic and semantic idiosyncratic of the QIPVs. By investigating their syntactic peculiarities, we casted some light on the main two components that constitute them, i.e., the Arabic proper verbs and prepositions. The study has confirmed that Arabic prepositions are context sensitive and language specific. They are of three types: Authentic/genuine, redundant, and Quasi-redundant prepositions. The fist type has been the very focus of this study since it completes the meanings of entities with which it is combined, put nouns that come after it in a genitive case, and need smuta align (relator). The study has also established that each Arabic preposition has its own basic functional meaning alongside with a number of other associated meanings. It is quite common to see a meaning of a preposition overlapping with meanings of other prepositions. Concerning the Arabic proper verbs, the study has shown that all the verb types may well constitute the first component of the QIPV, which is the product of combining prepositions with proper verbs. In terms of their word order patterns of QIPVs fall into two types depending on the preposition's location: split and non-split. Each type falls into a number of syntactic patterns according to the verbs' transitivity, tense, voice and the number of prepositions with which they combine. Semantically speaking, the study has demonstrated that prepositions significantly modify the basic meanings of the verbs with which they combine in that substituting a given preposition causes shifting the significance of the whole combination. The study has also accounted for the multidimensional relationship between verbs and prepositions in the OIPVs. It has confirmed that such a relationship is of two parallel dimensions: grammatical colligation and semantic collocation dimensions. The study has also shown that the overall meaning of the QIPV is affected by four distinct variables: the original meaning of the verb, the contextual meaning of the verb, the associated meaning of the preposition, and the object governed by the preposition, whether it is a human or non-human, indicating time or indicating place etc. This intricate relationship between verbs and prepositions has been addressed by Arabic linguists from four interrelated perspectives, namely: *al-ta* '*alluq*, *al-ta* '*addī*, *al-ināba*, and *al-tadmī*n.

This study has revealed that all these factors play a significant role in determining the meanings and the idiomaticity degree of verbs and prepositions in different Our'anic contexts. Therefore, understanding the significance of OIPVs involves taking these four interrelated factors into consideration. Finally, an illustrative list of the major semantic fields of QIPVs has been provided. It is hoped that this study has been successful in closing the gap by exploring the phenomenon of QIPVs per se, and accounting for their syntactic and semantic properties.

### References

- Al-'Atyya, A. M. (2008). Hurūf al-Jarr bayna al-Nyāba wa al-Tadmīn. Majallat al-turāth al-'Arabī. Year 28. No. 112.Beirut, Lebanon.
- Abboud, P. F., & McCarus, E. N. (1968). Elementary Modern Standard Arabic. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- Abdel Haleem, M. A. S. (2010). The Our'an: English Translation and Parallel Arabic Text. Oxford University Press. Oxford.

Adonis, A. A. S. (1996) Al-A 'māl al-Shi 'ryya Dār a-1-Madā. Damascus. Syria.

Al-Dahdah, A. (2009). Mi'jam Qawā'id al-Lughati al-'Arabyyati fī Jadāwil wa Lwhāt. Maktabat Lubnān Nāshirūn. Beirut, Lebanon.

Aldahesh, A. Y. (2009a). Translating Idiomatic English Phrasal Verbs into Arabic. UK. VDM Verlag Dr. Muller.

Aldahesh, A. Y. (2009b). Notes on Western Scholars' Classification of Arabic Prepositions. Proceedings of the Conference "Arabic Language on Perspective of Social and Culture". October 12-14, 2009. North Sumatra University, Indonesia, Medan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Q. 94:8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup>Abdel Haleem, p. 597

- Aldahesh, A. Y. (2013). Context Sensitivity and Language Specificity of Arabic Verb-Preposition Structure: The Case Study of English Learners of Arabic. *International Journal of Linguistics*. Vol. 5. No. 3. ISSN 1948-5425. Doi: 10.5296/ijl.v5i3.3933.
- Aldahesh, A. Y. (2016a). Pinning Down the Phenomenon of Phrasal Verbs in Arabic. *International Journal of language and Linguistics*. 3 (1), pp. 12-24. ISSN 2374-8850 (Print), 2374-8869 (Online).
- Aldahesh, A. Y. (2016b). Towards a Model for Analyzing and Assessing Translation of Qur'anic Idiomatic Phrasal Verbs. *Arab World journal*, Special Issue on Translation. (5), pp. 33-53. ISSN 2229-9327.
- Al-Jurjānī, Abu Bakr Abdul Qāhir bin Abdirrahmān (1982). *Al-Muqtaşid fī Sharh al- 'Idāḥ*. Edited by Kāẓm Bahrul Marjān. Wizarat al-Thaqāfa wa al-I'lām al-'Irāqyya. Baghdad. Iraq.
- Al-Jurjānī, Abdul Qāhir bin Abdirraḥmān (1992). *Dalā'il al-I'jāz*. Edited by Mahmoud Muhammad Shākir. Maṭba'at al-Madanī. Cairo. Egypt.
- Al-Mubarrid, Abu al-ʿAbbās Muhammad bin Yazīd (1965). *Al-Muqtadab*. Edited by Muhammad Abdul Khāliq ʿAdima. Al-Majlis al-Aʿlā lil Shiʾūn al-Islāmiyya. Cairo. Egypt.
- Al-Rummānī, Abi al-Hassan Ali bin 'Isā (2005). Ma 'ānī al-Hurūf. Al-Maktaba al-'Asryya. Beirut, Lebanon.
- Al-Sāmarrā'ī, I. (1979). Muqaddimatun fī Tārīkh al- 'Arabyyati. Dār al-Hurriyya. Baghdad, Iraq.
- Al-Sāmarra'ī, F. (2002). Ma'anī al-Nahū. Dār al-Fikr. Beirut. Lebanon.
- Al-Shamsān, A. I. (1986). Al-Fi 'il fī al-Qur 'an al-Karīm Ta 'adyatuhu wa Luzūmuhu. The Kuwait University.
- Al-Shamsān, A. I. (1987). *Qad*āyā *al-Taʿad*ī *wa al-Luzūm fi al-Dars al- Nahw*ī. Matbaʿat al-Madanī. Juddah. Suadi Arabia.
- Al- Ṣiūtī, Jalaluddin Abdul Rahmān bin Abi Bakr (1327H). *Hamʿ al-Hawāmiʿ Sharḥ Jamʿ al-Jawāmiʿ*. Edited by Badruddin Al-Naʿsāni. First edition. Maṭbaʿat al-Saʿāda. Cairo. Egypt.
- Al-Wasat, online Arabic Journal. Retrieved on 03/08/2015 from: http://www.alwasatnews.com/3213/news/read/ 569333/1.html
- Azzaro, G. (1992). The Syntactic Learning of English Phrasal Verbs: Theory. Rassegna Italiana di Linguistica Applicata, XXIV (1), 33-60.
- Babil, online Arabic Journal. Retrieved on 01/08/2015 from: http://www.babil.info/thesis.php?mid=32885&name =axbar

Bahumaid, S. (2006). Collocation in English-Arabic Translation. *Babel*. 52:2, pp.133-152.

- Bataineh, R. F., & Bataineh, R. F. (2002). The Difficulties Jordanian Graduate Learners of English as a Second language Face When Translating English Idioms into Arabic. *RASK*, 16, 33-83.
- Bolinger, D. (1971). The Phrasal Verb in English. Cambridge and Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Brashi, A. S. (2005). *Arabic Collocations: Implications for Translation*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Western Sydney, Australia.
- Cantarino, V. (1974). Syntax of Modern Arabic Prose. Bloomington / London: Indiana University Press.
- Close, R. A. (1992). A Teacher's Grammar: An Approach to the Central Problems of English. London: Language Teaching Publications.
- Courtney, R. (1983). Longman Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs. England: Longman Group Limited.
- Cowie, A. P., & Mackin, R. (1993). Oxford Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs (Second ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Crowley, T., Lynch, J., Siegel, J., & Piau, J. (1995). *The Design of English: An introduction to descriptive linguistics*. Auckland: Longman Paul.
- Daud, N., Dollah, N., & Zubir, B. (2003). *Linguistics Dictionary: English-Arabic Arabic-English*. Kuala Lampur: A. S. Noordeen.
- Dāwood, M. M. (2002). Al-Qur 'an al-Karīm Wa Tafā 'ul al-Ma 'āniī: Dirāsa Dilālya li Ta 'lluq Ḥarf al-Jarr bi al-Fi 'il Wa 'Atharahu fī al-Ma 'nā fī al-Qur 'an al-Karīm. Dār Gharīb. Cairo. Egypt.
- Dixon, R. M. W. (1982). The Grammar of English Phrasal Verbs. Australian Journal of Linguistics, 2, 1-42.
- Dixon, R. M. W. (1991). A New Approach to English Grammar, on Semantic Principles. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Drábková, L. (2011). Collocations in English and Czech from a Historical Perspective. In R. Trušník, K. Nemčoková & G. Jason Bell (Eds.) *Theories and Practice*. Proceedings of the Second International Conference on English and American Studies. September 7–8, 2010. Tomas Bata University in Zlín, Czech Republic.
- Emery, P. G. (1991). Collocation in Modern Standard Arabic. Journal of Arabic Linguistics (23), 56-65.
- Fellbaum, C. (2007). *Idioms and Collocations: Corpus-based Linguistic and Lexicography Studies*. Continuum. London and New York.
- Flower, J. (2000). Phrasal Verb Organiser (Seventh ed.). London: Language Teaching Publications (LTP).
- Fraser, B. (1976). The Verb-Particle Combination in English. Academic Press. New York, San Francisco and London.
- Ghalāyīnī, M. (1986). Jāmi 'al-Durūs al- 'Arabyyati. Al-Maktabat al- 'Așriyya. Beirut, Lebanon.

Hassan, 'Abbās (1963). Al-Nahū al-Wāfī. Third Edition. Dār al-Maʿārif. Cairo. Egypt.

- Haywood, J. A., and Nahmad, H. M. (1965). A New Arabic Grammar of the Written Language. Lund Humphries. London, UK.
- Heliel, M. H. (1994). Verb-Particle Combinations in English and Arabic: Problems for Arab Lexicographers and Translators. In R. De Beaugrand, A. Shunnaq & M. H. Heliel (Eds.), Language, Discourse and Translation in the West and Middle East. John Benjamins Publishing Company. Amsterdam and Philadelphia.
- Hussein, A. R. (2001), Arabic Stylistics: A Coursebook. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlog.
- Ibn al-Sarrāj, Abu Bakr Muhammad bin al-Sarrī bin Sahl (1973). Al-Asul fī al-Nahū. Edited by Abdul Hussain al-Fatlī. Matba'at al-A'zamī. Baghdad. Iraq.
- Ibn Hishām, Abu Muhammad Abdullāh Jamāluddin bin Ahmad bin Abdullāh (1953). Shuthūr al-thahab. Edited by Muhammad Muhyddin Abdulhamīd. Sixth edition. Al-Maktaba al-Tijāryya al-Kubrā. Cairo. Egypt.
- Ibn Jinnī, Abu al-Fath Uthmān (1954). Al-Khaşā'is. Edited by Muhammad 'Ali al-Najjār et al. Maţba'at al-Bābī al-Halabī. Cairo. Egypt.
- Ibn Jinnī, Abu al-Fath Uthmān (1954). Al-Munsif. Edited by Ibrāhim Mustafā and Abdullāh Amīn. Matbaʿat al-Bābī al-Halabī. Cairo. Egypt.
- Ibn Jinnī, Abu al-Fath Uthmān (1972). Al-Luma'. Edited by Fa'iz Fāris. First Edition. Dār al-Kutub al-Thaqāfyya. Kuwait.
- Kharma, N., & Hajjaj, A. (1989). Errors in English Among Arabic Speakers: Analysis and Remedy. London: Longman.
- Lentzner, K. R. (1977). Semantic and Syntactic Aspects of Arabic Prepositions. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Georgetown University, Washington, D. C.
- Lindner, S. J. (1983). A Lexico-Semantic Analysis of English Verb Particle Constructions With Out and Up. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indiana University.
- Lindstromberg, S. (1998). English Prepositions Explained. John Benjamin's Publishing Company. Amsterdam and Philadelphia.
- Live, A. H. (1965). The Discontinuous Verb in English. Word (21), 428-451.
- Ibn Manzūr, Jamāluddīn Muhammad bin Makram (1994). Lisān al- 'Arab. Third Edition. Dār Ṣādir, Beirut, Lebanon.
- Mahfūz, N. (1991). Bayna al-Oasrayn. In al-A'māl al-Kāmila. Matba'at Lubnān. Beirut. Lebanon.
- McArthur, T. (1975). Using Phrasal Verbs (Second ed.). London and Glasgow: Collins.
- McArthur, T. (1979). The strange cases of the English phrasal verb. Zielsprache Englisch, 9 (3), 24-26.
- McArthur, T. (1989). The long-neglected phrasal verbs. English Today, 5 (2), 38-44.
- Newmark, P. (1988). A Textbook of Translation. Prentice Hall. New York, London, Toronto, Sydney and Tokyo.
- Qara'i, A. Q. (2004). The Qur'an with a Phrase-by-Phrase English Translation. Islamic College for Advance Studies Press (ICAS). London, UK.
- Qinano, A. (2005). Al-Mutgan: Mi'jam Ta'adi al-Af'āl. Dār al-Ratib al-JJāmi'yya. Beirut, Lebanon.
- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language. London and New York: Longman.
- Ryding, K. G. (2005). A Reference Grammar of Modern Standard Arabic. Cambridge University Press. UK.
- Shboul, A. M. H. (1983). "Having" In Arabic. Journal of Arabic Linguistics. Otto Harrassowitz Wiesbaden. Heft 11, pp. 24-47.
- Shovel, M. (1992). Making Sense of Phrasal Verbs. Prentice Hall International (UK) Limited. London, UK.
- Sibawayh, Abu Bishir 'Amr bin Qanbar (1977). Al-Kitāb. Edited by 'Abdul Salām Hārūn. Al-hay'a al-Masryya al-'āma lil kitāb. Cairo. Egypt.
- Side, R. (1990). Phrasal verbs: sorting them out. ELT Journal, 44 (2), 144-152.
- Turton, N., & Manser, M. (1985). The Student's Dictionary of Phrasal Verbs. Macmillan. London and Basingstoke.
- Wright, W. (1981). A Grammar of the Arabic Language (Third ed.). Beirut: Librairie Du Liban.
- Yamasaki, N. (2008). Collocation and colligation associated with discourse function of unspecific anaphoric nouns. International Journal of Corpus Linguistics. 13:1, pp. 75-98.
- Zainul 'ābidīn, B. N. (2008). Hurūf al-Jarr al-zā'ida wa al-shabīha bil zā'ida: Dirāsa Nahwyya. Majalat al-'u ūm wa althaqāfa. Vo. 9 (2).