# A Comparative Study of the Simple Clause Structure of Kyerepong (Okere), Akuapem Twi, and English

## **Ebenezer Asinyor**

Lecturer, Department of Liberal Studies Koforidua Technical University, Koforidua Ghana

## Edward Owusu, PhD

Senior Lecturer, Department of Communication Studies
Director of Quality Assurance and Academic Planning Directorate
Sunyani Technical University, Sunyani
Ghana

## Asuamah Adade-Yeboah

Senior Lecturer, and Head, Department of Communication Studies, Christian Service University College, Kumasi, Ghana

## Solomon Ali Dansieh, PhD

Senior Lecturer, Department of General and Liberal Studies
Director of Research and Academic Programmes
Wa Technical University, Wa
Ghana

#### Abstract

This paper compares the simple clause structure in three languages of Kyerepong (Okere), Akuapem Twi and English. Again, the paper discusses how the structures mark focus and topic; and how they are used in copula and locative constructions. The paper comprises seven main parts. The first part gives a brief linguistic background of the two Ghanaian languages. The second part looks at the constituent order of the three languages; and the third considers the phonological processes involved. The remaining parts (which constitute the hub of the paper) focus on how the simple clause in these three languages is used in focus, topic, copula and locative constructions.

**Keywords**: Simple Clause Structure, Akuapem Twi, Kyerepong (Okere), Locative Constructions, Copula

#### 1. Introduction

The paper compares the simple clause structure of three languages of English, Akuapem (Ak.) Twi and Kyerepong (Okere), and identifies how their linguistic forms are produced to express meaning. The designation, Guan, describes a group of closely related languages mostly in Ghana spanning from Winneba in the Central Region to Bole in the Northern Region (Bramson, 1981). Steward (1966) divides the Guans into two main groups: a southern group comprising Awutu and Kyerepong; which has Late, Anum, and Boso as sub-dialects and a northern group mainly of Gonja. The main Kyerepong towns include Abirew , Dawu, Awukugua (Okereso), Adukrom (Esiẽso), Abonse, Apiredi and Asesesso all located in the eastern part of the Eastern Region of Ghana. Moreover, in places such as Amamfro, Kwadako, Sanfoano, Twum Guaso, Amashi and Kongo, all along a common road that joins the Tinkong-Adawso road leading to Accra, Kyerepong, (commonly referred to by the people as Okere) is spoken. Tompkins et al. (2002) relate that the name *Gua* means to run away; and it is used to describe the Guan people who ran away from ancient Ghana in the north and settled in the present day Ghana. According to Dan Botwe, Member of Parliament for Okere, the early Kyerepongs were chiefly farmers who did not get involved in the coastal trade with the Europeans. They settled on the mountains because they were peaceful and eschewed warfare, and the mountains provided them the security they wanted.

The people of Koforidua, where the second language in this study is spoken, are mainly from Juaben in the Ashanti Region of Ghana. Legends have it that during the time of Nana Dokua, some of the Juabens revolted against the Golden Stool of Ashanti. The rebels who were led by their chief, Nana Kwaku Boateng, fled and settled at places such as Kyebi, Kwabeng, Tafo, Asamankese and other parts of Akyem Abuakwa all in the Eastern Region of Ghana. Afterwards, some chiefs granted the Juabens a permit to settle on a land which was later called New Juaben with Koforidua as its capital.

Akuapem Twi has been exposed to a lot of scholarly works since the time of the Christian Missionaries. Twi extends the boarders of New Juaben to many parts of the Eastern Region as lingua franca. Twi is written and taught in schools and used in many domains such as in religion and commerce. Though Kyerepong is spoken in a number of towns, it has not been reduced to writing and reading. The reason is most of the speakers speak Akuapem Twi as well, so the Christian Missionaries focused on Twi which had a broader speaker base. Hence, Kyerepong's domain of use is restricted to their social milieu. In churches and in schools, Akuapem Twi is the medium.

Regarding scholarly work, a lot has not been done in connection with Kyerepong (Okere). Tompkins et al. (2002) did a sociolinguistic survey of the Hill Guan languages and reported that a primer for standard Guan for the Hill varieties and a two-book primer series had been developed, but had not been published. Bramson (1981) did a comparative study of Kyerepong varieties spoken at Abiriw, Dawu and Apiredi. Also, Kropp Dakubu (1988) and Grimes (1992) identified three dialects of Hill Guan namely Late, Kyerepong or Okere and Anum/Boso while Ofori (2004) discussed vowel harmony in Late.

# 2. The Nature of the Data

The data for this study comprise native-speaker descriptions of Bowerman and Pederson's (1993) Topological Relations Pictures Series, A story about what is planned for the next day, a brief family history, some responses from the interview sessions we had with native speakers, and a Twi story entitled "Kofi ne Adom." All these field data were collected between September and December 2014.

### 3. The Simple Clause

The simple clause is usually explained in the literature as containing one clause which expresses a single idea and has one verb phrase. Languages may have different clausal forms to express different structures such statements, copula constructions and locative constructions and to express what is of communicative interest to the speaker linguistically referred to as *focus* (Chiarcoset al., 2009). The following section concentrates on how the three languages Okere, Akuapem Twi and English use such forms to express different meanings. In describing the forms, the following clausal elements: subject (S), verb (V), object (O), complement (C) and Adjunct (A) were used.

#### 3.1 Constituent Order

The three languages under comparison are not case languages. They depend on a specific order in relation to the verb to mark case. The entity that is placed before the verb functions as the subject; the one after the verb functions as the object. In the case of English, it is only the pronominal system that shows differences in gender and case. In sentence formation, Kyerepong (Okere), Akuapem Twi, and English are all SVO languages. To form a statement, these languages can use the basic sentence order SVO, as well as the variants: SVC, SVA, SVOA and SVOC as in the following examples:

Kyerepong (Okere)

```
1. E-n-ku
                                                       yo.(SVOA)
                                               εle
   1PL.SUBJ-PERF-cut (V)
                               wall (O)take
                                               around (A)
   "It is walled."
2. Oponko
               bo
                               to. (SVC)
Door (S)
                                       here (C)
               one
                       COP(V)
"One door is here."
3. Inde,
                               afuru te. (ASVA)
               me-woe
vesterday (A)
               1SG.SUBJ-went (V)
                                       farm
                                               inside (A)
"Yesterday, I went to the farm."
4. Μὲ-bόὲ
                               tei. (SVO)
1SG.SUBJ/FUT-do (V) food (O)
"I will prepare food."
5. À-nέ-gyãĩ-m
                                       0,
                                               me-de-hũ.(SVO)
3SG.SUBJ-PROG-search(V)-3(O)
                                       INT
                                               1SG.(S)-AUX-see(V)
"Whether s/he is searching for her/him, I don't know."
6. É-nè-dấ
                                       nkangyēē. (SOC)
                               SO
   1PL.SUBJ-PERF-hit(V)
                               top
                                       sheets (C)
   "It has been roofed."
Akuapem Twi
```

```
Day every DET s/he.SUBJ-FUT-go(V) school(A) DET

3-de

Adom

di

n'-akyi. (SVOC)

s/he.SUBJ-take (V) Adom (O) eat 3SGPOSS-back (C)

"Every day, he goes to school with Adom."
```

Constituents in the simple clause are positioned and shaped by some phonological processes to express meaning and functions. Some of the processes and meaning strategies are conflation/agglutination, vowel harmony, morpheme or segment deletion, focus and topic.

## 3.2 Conflation/Agglutination

In both Okere and Akuapem Twi, a pronoun subject and the verb conflate into one word as in  $\mathbf{\acute{E}nku}$ , we have cut, in sentence 1 of section 3.1, and  $\mathbf{\acute{o}beka}$ , he will go, in 2. In Okere, the object can also combine with the verb as one word as in  $\mathbf{\acute{A}}$ - $\mathbf{\acute{n}\acute{e}}$ - $\mathbf{\acute{e}}$ - $\mathbf{\acute{e$ 

## 3.3 Morpheme/Segment Elision

In the two Ghanaian languages under comparison, a phonological process of segment elision takes place in the structures to optimize pronunciation. For example, in sentence 1 of this section (3.3), the pronoun  $\acute{\bf E}$  is a clipped form of the first person plural subject  $\grave{\bf E}$ n $\acute{\bf e}$ . The perfect verb  ${\bf n}\grave{\bf e}$  is entirely deleted, so instead of:

```
Éné-nè-ku
1PL.SUBJ-PERF-cut; `we have:
Énku, where the syllable né in Ené is deleted.
```

We notice that the high tone on the deleted morpheme is transferred onto the  $\acute{\bf E}$ .

## 3.4 ATR Vowel Harmony (VH)

One of the prominent phonological processes that take place in Okere and Akuapem Twi clauses is Advanced Tongue Root [+ATR] harmony, a phenomenon which is non-existent in English. In some languages, vowels in words are expected to share some linguistic properties. These properties determine the distribution of vowels in the words of these languages (Katamba, 1993). The restriction of the distribution of [ATR] vowels is meant to aid articulation and meaningful communication. In [ATR], if the tongue root advances in the production of a vowel, the vowel is described as [+ATR]. On the other hand, if the tongue root retracts, the vowel is [-ATR]. Based on this phenomenon, two groups of vowels can be identified to operate in both Akuapem Twi and Okere:

```
[+ATR] /i, u, e, o, æ/
[-ATR] /I, \upsilon, \varepsilon, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{d}
```

The transition from **Ené-nè-ku**to **Énku**also illustrates the phenomenon of VH. The default **E** in **Ené** is changed to **E**inanticipation of the high back vowel –**u** [+ATR] in **kù**, *cut*, which has assumed its [+ATR] properties, hence, **E** instead of **E**.Other examples of VH are expressed below:

Kyerepong (Okere)

```
Gbei asε koto ogbolu tε
Dog RP kneel pan inside
```

We notice that all the words in the sentence above have vowels from either of the [ATR] groups. **Gbei**is [+ATR], **ase**is [-ATR], **koto** [-ATR], **ogbolu** [+ATR] and **te** is [-ATR]. A similar thing happens in the Akuapem Twi example in sentence 2 under Section 1.3.

```
Da biara a obeko sukuu no,
```

Da is [-ATR], biara [+ATR], **bekb** [-ATR] and **sukuu** [+ATR].

## 3.5 The Structure of Okere and Akuapem Twi Noun Phrase

The noun phrases in the simple clause of the Ghanaian languages in this paper have the structure: Noun+(Adjective) +Determiner as in **sukuu no** (Ak. Twi), the school and **>pon k3** (Okere), one door in examples 2 and 3 of section 3.1. The modifier adjective is optional and the determiner is placed after the noun head. The noun head assumes the first position in the phrase and any modifier comes afterwards. This structure topicalizes the head as an

entity attention should be drawn to first. English, on the other hand, has the head NP as the last element with the determiner and the adjective (if present) preceding it in that order respectively.

## 3.6 Focus in Okere and Akuapem Twi

Chiarcos et al. (2009) explains that focus signifies newor newsworthyinformation a sentence expresses. Crystal (2003) as cited in Dorvlo (2008) also relates that focus is a term that is used in sentence analysis to differentiate between assumed information and the information which holds the speakers' communicative interest. Dorvlo (2008) further explains that the focusing strategy is employed as a corrective measure by the speaker in stressing the information the speaker believes is the case that is different from what the addressee thinks is the case.

The two Ghanaian languages in this comparative study deploy focus strategies in their clause structures to express communicative interest and corrective measures as explained above. Okere seems to have two particles,  $\mathbf{d}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  and  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{o}}$ , for marking focus. The analysis of the data indicates that the differences in focus realization correspond to semantic differences. It is observed that the particle  $\mathbf{d}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  is used to mark argument focus and it usually precedes the entity that is focused. On the other hand,  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{o}}$  seems to be used as a predicate focus marker, and the context of use indicates that  $\mathbf{d}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  and  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{o}}$  can be used as variants to focus on non-human arguments. However,  $\mathbf{d}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  is solely used to focus on human arguments. Examples with  $\mathbf{d}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  and  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{o}}$  are shown below:

```
1. Dé
                               hỗε
                                                       bí
       me
                       twú
                                       me
        1SG.SUBJ
                                       3SG.POSS
                                                               DET
FOC
                       take
                                                       child
                               give
"It is me who gave it to my child."
2. Ené
               nenyē-nè
                                               má
```

```
2. Ene nεηγε-ne a, mɔ

1PL.POSS grandfather-PL DET FOC

Edewu a nέ ɔmo pεε yi

Dewu DET COMP 3.PL all live

"It was Dewu (that) our grandfathers lived."
```

In the example 1 of section 3.6, the focusing of  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  by the marker  $\mathbf{D}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  implies that it is the NP  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  not anyone else who gave it to the recipient. The focus marker on the first person subject pronoun, therefore, is used to perform a corrective measure. The marker  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ ,however, focuses on the clause,  $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{dewu}$  a  $\mathbf{n}\epsilon$   $\mathbf{jmo}$   $\mathbf{p}\epsilon\epsilon$   $\mathbf{y}\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ , to indicate that it was Dewu, not anywhere else, the grandfathers lived.

Comparatively, Akuapem Twi has **ná** as its focus marker which can appear either clause initial or medial as examples 3 and 4 of section 3.6. Usually, if the focus is in the middle of the clause, the marker takes a low tone as shown in example 4 of section 3.6.

```
3. Ná Kofi re-sa.
FOC Kofi PROG-dance "Kofi was dancing."
```

```
4. [[Sá nà] Kofi re-sa.]

Dance FOC Kofi PROG-dance
```

"It was dance (that) Kofi was dancing."

The example 3 of section 3.6 indicates that Kofi was actually dancing at the time seen, but was not doing any other thing. This meaning is evident in the example 4 (of 3.6) which vociferously insists on the fact that Kofi was dancing. This insistence can be an aggressive reply to someone who has been denying that Kofi was doing.

English does not employ focus the way Ghanaian languages do. Focus operates on the clause in English. Instead of a particular focus marker, English employs sentence accent to mark focus (Krifka, 2006). Usually in individual words, it is a single syllable that receives a primary stress where the syllable is produced with a higher pitch more than the surrounding syllables. Any single word in the clause, whether lexical or functional can be focused. The syllable that receives primary stress, in the focusing word, marks the focus in the clause. Attention is then drawn to it to indicate the communicative interest of the speaker which is different from that of the addressee's. For example, in sentence 5 (of 3.6), the stress (shown by bold print) on the verb indicates that the speaker means that the verbal action is what actually took place, but not any other thing the second interlocutor may be thinking of. In sentence 6 (of Section 3.6), the speaker means that Michael is not a friend of John but a brother. The speaker does this by stressing the word, **brother**.

- 1. He **stole** my book.
- 2. Michael is the **bro**ther of John.

## 3.7 Topic Construction

Dorvlo (2008) explains that **topic** is a function that is assigned to an element that is considered to be what the message is about in the clause. In other words, topic is the entity that a speaker identifies and about which information is given (Krifka 2006). This constituent element is fronted to the left periphery of the clause. All the three languages in comparison are SVO (subject-verb-object) languages. Hence, their unmarked topic is the default subject in the clause which can either be human or non-human. However, because of communicative importance, certain elements other than the unmarked subject can be in the topic position. In the example 1 of section 3.6, the unmarked form will be: **Enesĩ kya**, We have built a house, where **kya** is the object. However, the marked form where the house is fronted is chosen because the native speaker considers the house to be the topic which has the rest of the information as comments. In the second example (3.6), the unmarked structure is: **Enkãɛdetɛ**, where **ɛdetɛ** is the object. However, the object is in the topic position in the sentence and **mò** is replacing it in its original slot.

Kyerepong (Okere)

## 1. Kya ne ene-sĩ $\varepsilon$ .

House is 3PL.SUBJ-build "House is what we have built."

2. Edetε a, εn-kã mo. Mat DEF, 1PL.SUBJ-lay 3SG.OBJ

"The mat, we have laid it."

Adverbial phrases indicating time seem to occupy the topic position as an unmarked structure in Okere. It is not usual for one to put time indications, for example, in the topic position in the sentence 1 of section 3.7, in the comment or the clause final position in 2. The second sentence is not preferred.

## 3. Inde, mè-wóè afuru tæ.

Yesterday, 1SG.SUBJ-went farm in

"Yesterday, I went to the farm."

## 4. Mè-wóè afuru tɛ inde.

1SG.SUBJ farm in yesterday

"I went to the farm, yesterday."

This phenomenon of fronting the adverbial time indicator to the left periphery operates in Akuapem Twi too as an unmarked choice as in:

Ennora, me-kə-ə afuo-m.

Yesterday, 1SG.SUBJ-go-COMPL farm-in

"Yesterday, I went to the farm."

English is flexible and can front almost any constituent to the topic position as happening below:

[John] topic [wrote the examination early in the morning.] comment

[The examination] *topic* [was written early in the morning by John.] *comment* 

[Early in the morning] topic [John] topic [wrote the examination] comment

[John], topic early in the morning, wrote the examination.

We notice from the noun phrase and topic construction analyses above that the Ghanaian languages prefer the unmarked position of what is communicatively important to be the at the left periphery. Any other elements follow.

#### 3.8 Copula Construction

Following Dorvlo (2008), equative constructions and predicative possessive constructions are discussed under copula constructions under this section. Equative structures are constructed with the verb  $\mathbf{d}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  in Kyerepong (Okere),  $\mathbf{y}\hat{\mathbf{e}}$  in Akuapem Twi and a form of  $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{e}$  in English depending on the number of the pre-verbal NP in the English construction and the tense of the construction. The forms in the two Ghanaian languages are the same irrespective of whether the pre-verbal NP is singular or plural and the tense of the construction is past or present. If the two arguments are NPs, their positions can be swapped with a determiner on the fronted attribute. To indicate past, the first NP is preceded by  $\mathbf{n}\hat{\mathbf{a}}$  in Akuapem Twi and  $\mathbf{m}\hat{\mathbf{j}}$  in Okere. This construction can also be interpreted as  $\mathbf{focus}$  marking.

Kyerepong (Okere)

#### 1. Kofi dé osukuu-ni.

Kofi is student-NOM

"Kofi is a student."

2. Osukuu-ni a de Kofi.

school-NOM DET is Kofi.

The student is Kofi."

3. M5 Kofi de osukuu-ni.
COMPL Kofi is student-NOM

"Kofi was a student.

Akuapem Twi

## 4. Kofi yε Okua-fo

Kofi is farmer-NOM

"Kofi is a farmer."

5. Okua-fo no yε Kofi.

Farmer-NOM DET is Kofi.

"The farmer is Kofi."

6. Ná Kofi yε okua-fo.

COMPL Kofi is famer-NOM

"Kofi was a farmer."

In English, the finite forms of the be verb can be used to form equative constructions.

- Kofi is/was a student.
- The men are/were farmers.
- I am a teacher.

#### 3.9 Predicative Possessive Constructions

Predicative possessive construction is marked with  $b\dot{o}$  in Kyerepong (Okere),  $w\dot{o}$  in Akuapem Twi and English uses any of the form of the verb **have**. The  $b\dot{o}$  in Okere and  $w\dot{o}$  in Akuapem Twi have the basic sense of **stay** in the temporary sense where the entity is assumed to be at a physical location so that one can find the entity located there if he is being searched for as in:

Kyerepong (Okere)

1. Kofi bò tó

Kofi stay here.

"Kofi is here."

Akuapem Twi

2. Kofi wà há

Kofi stay here

"Kofi is here."

It is these *stay* verbs that are interpreted as *have* in English and used as predicative possessive constructions. In the three languages, the NP possessor subject precedes the verb and the entity possessed, which functions as the object, follows:

Kyerepong (Okere)

**1. Me bò awi**. 1SG.SUBJ stay house.

"I have a house."

2. Anyaa bò ebie-nè nyɔ̃.

Man DET stay child-PL two.

"The man has two children."

Akuapem Twi.

3. Me wà nua.

1SG.SUBJ stay brother

"I have a brother."

**4. Papa no wò n-nan bebree**. Man DET stay PL-house many

"The man has a lot of houses."

## 3.10 Locative Constructions

The simple clause can also be used to produce locative constructions in Okere and Ak. Twi. Dorvlo (2008) explains that locative constructions are the answers produced when the question where is x is asked. In the answer, there is a locative verb and a postpositional phrase made up of an NP which constitutes the *Ground*, the location of the object and the postposition word which is sometimes explained to be a body part which has been grammaticalized.

From the data elicited by means of the Topological Relation Picture Series (TPRS) (Bowerman & Pederson, 1993), it is noticed that Kyerepong (Okere) has **bò**, *be located*; Ak. Twi has **wò**, *be located* and English has a form of **be** as unmarked locative verbs. The table below shows the marked locative verbs in Okere and Akuapem Twi

| Table 1: Locative | Verbs of | Kyerepong ( | (Okere) | and Akuapem Twi |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|---------|-----------------|
|                   |          |             |         |                 |

| Locative Verbs    |             |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Kyerepong (Okere) | Akuapem Twi |  |  |  |  |
| Kã                | Dà          |  |  |  |  |
| Yélí              | Gyìnà       |  |  |  |  |
| Kótó              | Kòtò        |  |  |  |  |
| Kplé              | Sì          |  |  |  |  |
| Kyàlè             | Nàm         |  |  |  |  |
| Tálé              | fàlè/fam    |  |  |  |  |
| s̃ei              | s̃en        |  |  |  |  |
| Tù                | Tó          |  |  |  |  |
| kpòsè             | Twéré       |  |  |  |  |
| wóré              | hyè         |  |  |  |  |
| bété              | ben         |  |  |  |  |
| Yí                | Tè          |  |  |  |  |

The locative verbs in each row in the Table 1 have similar meanings. Each pair under the two languages is analysed concurrently. **Kã** is usually used for inanimate things and dead bodies lying somewhere. If the subject is alive, the unmarked **bò** or **dè**, sleep/lie, is used. However, in Akuapem Twi, **dà** can be used for both animate and inanimate. **Yélí** and **gyìnà** assume the subject to be vertical in posture, while **kótó** (Okere) and **kòtò** (Twi) indicate that the subject is bent. **Kplé** and **sì** give the idea that the entity is vertical, solid and firmly planted on the located place. Usually, buildings and trees are associated with **kplé** and **sì**. Objects that are slow and close to the ground are described with **kyàlè** and **nàm**. However, **nàm** in Twi can be used to describe both human and non-human. More so, things located very close to the ground with a flat body part attached to a surface are described with **tálé** (Okere) and **tàlè** (Twi).

Sẽĩ and sɛn denote things that are slender and usually of lighter weight hanging on something. Tù and tó have the sense of juxtaposing two things, so one lies beside the other. Kpòsè and twéré bring to mind a tall object which is supported by a firmly planted object. On the other hand, wóréand hyè presupposes that the entity is housed in an enclosed location, but bété and bɛn are used to refer to one entity assuming a position close to another. Yí and tè indicate a position directly above the *ground*. The marked locative verbs are exemplified below:

```
Kyerepong (Okere)
1a.Atere
                kə
                        kã
                                εdeta
                                        a
                                                yó.
Spoon one
                lie
                        mat
                                DET
                                        skin
"One spoon is near the mat."
        Akuapem Twi
                                                         hố.
        1b. Atere
                        baako
                                dà
                                        keté
                                                 no
                Spoon one
                                lie
                                        mat
                                                DET
                                                        skin
                "One spoon is near the mat."
Kyerepong (Okere)
        2a. Akasini
                                                abie
                        ako
                                        yélí
                                                                esite.
                                nso
                                                        a
                                                chair
                                                        DET
                                                                front
                Girl
                        some
                                too
                                        stand
                "A certain girl too is standing in front of a chair."
Akuapem Twi
        2b. Ababaa-wa bi
                                        gyina agongua no
                                nso
                                                                anim.
```

Girl-DIM DET front some too stand chair "A certain girl too is standing in front of a chair." Kyerepong (Okere) 3a. Anva kótó abie a ensi. a Man **DET** kneel chair back "The man is kneeling behind the chair." Akuapem Twi 3b. **Obarima** kòtò agongua no no akyi. DET kneel chair **DET** back Man "The man is kneeling behind the chair." Kyerepong (Okere) 4a. bá kə kplé site. Ma 3SG.POSS hand one stand floor "One hand of his is on the floor." Akuapem Twi baako si 4b. Ne nsa fam. stand 3SG.POSS hand one floor "One hand of his is on the floor." Kyerepong (Okere) 5a. Abi nέ-kyàlè bò kya-a yó. Snail PROG-crawl **PREP** room-DET skin "A snail is on the wall of the room." Akuapem Twi 5b. Nwa nam dan no ho. Snail walk room DET skin "A snail is on the wall of the room." Kyerepong (Okere) 6a. Abi tálé kya yó. room **DET** Snail attach skin "A snail is on the wall of the room." Akuapem Twi 7a. Nwa tàlè/fam dan no ho. Snail attach **DET** skin room "A snail is on the wall of the room." Kyerepong (Okere) E-n-h5 ofrankaa sĩĩ 8a. áyí SO. 1PL.SUBJ-PERF-take flag hang tree upper surface "A flag hangs on a stick." Akuapem Twi 8b. Yε-de frankaaas̃n dua SO. 1PL.SUBJ-take flag upper surface hang tree "A flag hangs on a stick." Kyerepong (Okere) 9a. É-ne-hɔ̃ akpose wo-tù. 1PL.SUBJ-PERF-take ladder PERF-lie Kpokpo yó Wall **DET** skin "The ladder is leaning on the wall." Akuapem Twi 9b. Wode atwere á-tò 3PL.SUBJ-take ladder PERF-lie dan ho. no room **DET** skin

"The ladder is leaning on the wall."

Kyerepong (Okere)

10a. É-ne-tsu εkposε yó.

1PL.SUBJ-PERF-take lean skin "They have propped it against the wall." Akuapem Twi 10b. a-twéré hó. 1PL.SUBJ-take PERF-lean "They have propped it against the wall." Kyerepong (Okere) Awólé woré 11a. baage tέ. interior region Book enter bag "The book is in the bag." Akuapem Twi 11b. Nhoma no hyε baage mu. no Book **DET DET** interior region enter bag "The book is in the bag." Kyerepong (Okere) yó. 12a. Atere a betε εdetε a Spoon DET near DET skin mat "The spoon is near the mat." Akuapem Twi 12b. Atere no ben kεtε no hó. Spoon DET near mat **DET** skin "The spoon is near the mat." Kyerepong (Okere) 13a. Aberande àví sétè. a

Akuapem Twi

13b. Aberante no tè fam. young man DET sit floor

**DET** 

"The young man is sitting on the floor."

sit

"The young man is sitting on the floor."

Young man

From the English expressions under each glossing of section 3.10, it is observed that English often uses a relational verb **is** to indicate location. The semantic sense in locative construction involving an entity physically identified in relation to **ground** is not well captured by relational verbs. For example, **is** in: **The book is in the bag** sounds nebulous. The verb, **is**, can express many ideas about the book. It is the prepositional structure that helps us to understand the verb, **is**. However, in the Twi expression,

floor

| Nhoma no                  | hyε | baage | no  | mu. |                 |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Book                      | DET | enter | bag | DET | interior region |  |  |  |
| "The book is in the bag." |     |       |     |     |                 |  |  |  |

the verb  $\mathbf{hy}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  has a basic sense of location. This is proven by the fact that without the rest of the construction, only the NP **Nhoma**, and the verb  $\mathbf{hy}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  are enough for one to get the sense of the construction.

#### 4. Conclusion

The paper has attempted to compare the semantics of the simple clause in Kyerepong (Okere), Akuapem Twi and English. However, we feel that a more detailed study needs to be done, especially in Kyerepong since the language is yet to receive formal documentation. The necessity for this work is evidenced by the fact that a lot of linguistic forms were left untouched since they do not form a core part of the current study. It is our hope that this paper will contribute meaningfully to scholarship, and open up more areas for detailed study.

#### References

Ansah, M. A. (2002). The function of tones in Late grammar. In M. E. Kropp Dakubu and E. K. Osam (eds.), *Studies in the Languages of the Volta Basin I*, pp. 197-203. Legon: Linguistics Department.

Bramson, D. A. (1981). *Varieties of the Kyerepong spoken in Abiriw/Dawu and Apiredi*. PhD Thesis, Department of Linguistics, University of Ghana.

Bowerman, M. & Pederson, E. (1993). Topological Relations Pictures Series. In Eve Danziger and Deborah Hill (eds.), *Manual for the space stimuli kit 1.2.* pp. 40-50 Nijmegen: Max Planck Institut für Psycholinguistik, Cognitive Anthropology Research Group.

Botwe, D. K. (2010). <www.okere.ghanamps.gov.gh/about> May 27, 2014.

Chiarcos, C.; Fiedler, I.; Grubic, M.; Haida, A.; Hartmann, K.; Ritz, J.; Schwarz, A.; Zeldes, A.; & Zimmermann, M. (2009).Information structure in African languages: Corpora and tools. In *Proceedings of the EACL Workshop on Language Technologies for African Languages*, pp. 17-24. Greece: Association of Computational Linguistics.

Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Dorvlo, K. (2009). Focus in Logba. The Journal of West African Languages 36 (1-2), 91-106.

Dorvlo, K. (2008). *Grammar of Logba (Ikpana)*. The Netherlands: LOT Janskerkhof 13.

Grimes, B. (1992). *Ethnologue: Languages of the world*. Dallas, Texas: Summer Institute of Linguistics.

Katamba, F. (1993). Phonology. London: Longman.

Krifka, M. (2006). Basic notions of information structure. In Féry, Fanselow and Krifka Manfred (eds.), *Interdisciplinary Studies on Information Structure*.

Kropp Dakubu, M. E. (1988). Volta Comoe. In M. E. Kropp-Dakubu (ed.), *The languages of Ghana*, 50-90. London: Kegan Paul International for the International African Institute.

Ofori, K. (2004). Vowel harmony in Larteh—A descriptive analysis. In M. E. Kropp Dakubu and E. K. Osam (eds.), *Studies in the Languages of the Volta Basin II*, pp. 250-258. Legon: Linguistics Department.

Stewart, J. M. (1966). *Comparative African Word1ists no.* 1: *Awutu, Larteh, Nkonya, and Krachi.* Legon, Ghana: Institute of African Studies.

Tompkins, B.; Hatfield, D. H. & Kluge, A. (2002). *Sociolinguistic Survey of the Gua Language Community*. Ghana: Sil International.

## **APPENDICES**

## 1. List of Abbreviations

Ak. Akuapem
PL plural
SUBJ subject
SG singular

first person second person third person

FUT future
PROG progressive
AUX auxiliary
PERF perfect
DET determiner
POSS possessive

[ATR] advanced tongue root VH vowel harmony

RP relative pronoun/particle

FOC focus

COMP complementizer NOM nomilizing suffix DIM diminutive suffix

# 2. Kyerepong descriptions of TRPs according to their numbers

- Amɔ̃ a, de kya, kya nɛ εnɛ sı̃ ε. Mfɛnsere nyɔ̃ bo mo ekemi tɛ ne nyɔ̃ nso bo esi tɛ . ⊃pon kɔ bo to, ɔpon kɔ ns bo mo ekemi tɛ. Enku bá ɛle yo. Kya, a ɛnɛ dɛ̃ so nkangyēẽ. Kya a bo ɔfiɔw. Wonhu kyaa wo esii sɔ.
- 23. Mo de ayi ετε επε ku so. Nε εmbobow afe εtu so. Ne mo ase eyiri.
- 24. Mo nso de εdetε. Atere kã yo. Atere a, eko kã ase ne eko kã yo.
- Mo de kya, ne sesẽ nso yeli so; ana kya a so. Kya a mo mfɛnsere de nyō; mo pono de ko. Endẽ so faĩ
- Aberande ako ayi. Ambubu mə ná, ne mə bá kə kple sete ne ene wore egye bo mə yo ne egye a nesɔ̃.

- 47. Mo nso de gbei. Gbei a se akoto ogbolu te ne adwu wokye mo yo.
- Mo nso de kya. Ne bo te se Mpepefo asore kya, ne ayi kple yo. Ensi mo se Mpepefo le a ngo.
- Mo nso de kyan dε. Okanea bo tε. Abi nε kyale bo kyan dε ne ananse nso tale kya a yo, ne nsẽsemi nso bo kyan dε. Enε nyō okanea wo sẽĩ tε.
- 56. Mɔ nso de kya ne akpε kã yo ne Enhɔ̃ ɔfrankaa sẽī ayi so.
- Mo nso de akpose. Ene tu εkpokpo nε επε hō akpose hōkpose akpok po a yo. Akpose a bo ofiew paa ne enetsu εkpose yo.
- Mo nso de abie fefiew ako, ne anyã ako nè bobow mo yo nawore abie a mo ansî ne akasîni ako nso yeli abie esite ne andãî mo esii hō ke mo ekemi te. Akasîni a bo ofiew; anyã a nso ne bobow mo yo pi ow dodo biibi ele nawore abie a mo ansi. Anyã a aneyere akasîni a. Mesogyi se akasîni a negyãi mo o, medehũ.
- Ete take ne meekye mo nso de baage , ngasebi baage ete omo de esei omo yo a ngo ne enebukyi ano ne a wole wore te. Baage a bo ofiew paa.

## 3. Story about what is planned for the next day

Akye, ade enkye a, mebo otoko bo miesii so ete meboe.

Inde mo, me awu a meɛnkye a mo ndebindebi maane bo so.

Nnow, inde meboaboa me ndebi pee; mefo me ndebi; mefongyefongye mfikyiri so pee. Meloloe fura pee.

Nnow se ade kose enkye nso a, akye mewoe so meboe etoko ete egyi se meboe ako aa hõ nkã so.

Ne meembo pee be mewore a mewoe afuri te wogyãi tei ne mebedange tei nalete a ene dange ne enegyi.

Nnow akyẽ, ετοko a ετε aa ne gyi sε meboε--ngo a de se επε bebo ali bo okuro mo ndε mo ε.

Nnow akye ɛbɛwɔ fongye ndwu tɛ; mewoe ngo ne mewɔboa nɛ ɛwɔ fongye ndwu a tɛ ne ndwu a tɛ bɛɔfiɛw.

Akyẽ, εγεε εkye pεε befongyefongye amandε .Me nso mewoboa nε εnefongye amandε nε εloloε amandε pεε . Afei akyẽ mo ara , εnekre mmabaahene bo Kwaa Twum ekuro mo ndε ; me nso mewoe ne mewoboa nε εwokre mmabaahene a nε εne nyẽ mmabaahene na abebegye εne esite na ahỗboa εne bo ekuro mo ndε . Nnow akyẽ ndebi bebrẽĩ bo miesii so εtε megyãĩ sε mεboε . Nnow akyẽ, sε ade kosẽ εnkyẽ a, meyere meyo sε medeebo mobãĩ pεε ne mewore. Akyi mo ndε εne bo esimi paa bo ekuro mo ndε . Sε woonkye mfukyiri so , loli akpε yo , ndebindebi εtε mmefiew ne pεε nso kwẽ wokyie ekuro mo a , akye megyãĩ sε ade kosẽ εnkyẽ a , meboε pεε; meloloεloloε medó mfukyiri so, metwuw me nkangyẽẽ yo.

## 4. A brief family history

Ené nenyene a, mó Edewu a ne omo pee yi . Ne emfo bere ako a , Dewu a nebo te se wo okuro kpomgbo te . Nnow, hĩa se wowoe anako ne wowoebo esimi .Nso mo to de Dewu assase a ngo ala; nnow, omo benkyina to . Asẽ ne gye mgbe benkyina to a etre mo Nenye Twum.Nnow, mfiase a, mo εγεε mewoe Nenye Twum akura.Mo ta ne de akura.Nnow, papaene nembe to ne, omo ne mamaene benkyina anako efo etre ta γεε Saase.Nnow, omotwu Saase a, nnow Nenye Twum akura afei nebebo ekuro.Omotwu Saase ne omobenkyina Nenye Twum okuro a te ngo.Nnow afei le koraa, embemboe ibie bo ta ne. Asoko a nwoso a εγεε mewoe Twum bie so; mewoe Twum bie so ne to bemboe okuro. Nnow, mo Dewu ne omo pee twu ne kaakemo omo benkyina Kwaa Twum bo to nso to de Dewu akura kpomgbo.

#### 5. Responses from Interviews

Inde mewoe afuru te. Inde meboe. Inde mefo ndebi. Inde meboe elo otoko mo.

Meboε me fura ndebi pεε.

Ade enkye a, megyãí se metoa so ne meboe otoko hohwe.

Inde meboe tei; inde meboe. Akye, meboe. Wooboe.

Inde mebo $\epsilon$  me fura ndebi p $\epsilon\epsilon$ .

Dé me twú hõε me bi a.

Kofi dé osukuuni.

Osukuuni a dé Kofi.

Mó Kofi de osukuuni.

Kofi bò to.

## 6. Kofi ne Adom

Owura ne Owura yere Ponoma ɛwo ba bi a ne din de Kofi. Kofi wo okraman bi a wato ne din Adom. Adom ne Kofi tae ko dwaso Benada biara. Sɛ woko dwa so a, Kofi to ɛnam ɛne fufuo ma Adom efisɛ fufuo ɛne ɛnam yɛ aduane a Adom ani gye ho. Enam ɔdo a Kofi wo ma Adom nit, da biara a ɔbɛko sukuu no, ɔde Adom di n'akyi ko. Da koro bi, Kofi ne Adom ɛkoɔ sukuu ma ɔkyerɛkyerɛni no ɛpam Adom. Nti Adom ɛde mmirika ne awerɛhoɔ ɛbaa fie. Efi saa da no, Adom anni Kofi akyi anko sukuu bio.